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**ABSTRACT** Little is known regarding the involvement of the ventral pallidum (VP) in cocaine-seeking behavior, in contrast with considerable documentation of the involvement of its major afferent, the nucleus accumbens, over the past thirty years utilizing electrophysiology, lesion, inactivation, molecular, imaging, and other approaches. The VP is neuroanatomically positioned to integrate signals projected from the nucleus accumbens, basolateral amygdala, and ventral tegmental area. In turn, VP projects to thalamoprefrontal, subthalamic, and mesencephalic dopamine regions having widespread influence across mesolimbic, mesocortical, and nigrostriatal systems. Prior lesion studies have implicated VP in cocaine-seeking behavior, but the electrophysiological mechanisms underlying this behavior in the VP have not been investigated. In the present investigation, following 2 weeks of training over which animals increased drug intake, VP phasic activity comprised rapid-phasic increases or decreases in firing rate during the seconds prior to and/or following cocaine-reinforced responses, similar to those found in accumbens. As a population, the direction (increasing or decreasing) and magnitude of firing rate changes were normally distributed suggesting that ventral striatopallidal processing is heterogeneous. Since changes in firing rate around the cocaine-reinforced lever press occurred in animals that escalated drug intake prior to neuronal recordings, a marker of “addiction-like behavior” in the rat, the present experiment provides novel support for a role of VP in drug-seeking behavior. This is especially important given that pallidothalamic and pallidomesencephalic VP projections are positioned to alter dopaminoceptive targets such as the medial prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens, and dorsal striatum, all of which have roles in cocaine self-administration. *Synapse* 64:704–713, 2010. © 2010 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

**INTRODUCTION**

The mesolimbic dopamine system is critical for cocaine-seeking behavior (Roberts and Koob, 1982; Roberts et al., 1977, 1980). The primary target of mesolimbic dopaminergic neurons is the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) and lesions or γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) induced inactivation of this structure decrease cocaine-seeking (McFarland and Kalivas, 2001, 2004; Roberts et al., 1977). NAcc single unit recordings have revealed phasic changes (increases or decreases in firing rate) within seconds of cocaine-seeking responses (Carelli and Deadwyler, 1994; Ghitza et al., 2004). Because NAcc neurons exhibit rapid-phasic firing rate changes during movements prior to or following the completion of a cocaine-reinforced response but not during similar movements away from the lever within the same session (Chang et al., 1994), these phasic changes likely reflect appetitive neuronal processing related to drug-seeking behavior.

The primary target of NAcc efferents is the ventral pallidum (VP), which also integrates afferent signals from the basolateral amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and...
ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Fuller et al., 1987; Klinkenick et al., 1992; Sesack et al., 1989; Zahn and Heimer, 1990). In turn, the VP predominantly projects to the mediadorsal (MD) thalamus, which influences the medial prefrontal cortex (Churchill et al., 1996; O’Donnell et al., 1997; Zahn et al., 1996). VP also projects to dopamine neurons of the VTA, thereby influencing mesolimbic and mesocortical structures (Groenewegen et al., 1993; Kalivas et al., 1993). VP additionally targets the subthalamic nucleus and substantia nigra, thereby affecting the nigrostriatal system (Bell et al., 1995; Bevan et al., 1996; Groenewegen and Berendse 1990; Haber et al., 1985).

Given its position within basal forebrain circuits implicated in motivated behavior, it is likely that the VP is involved in processing drug-seeking behavior. Indeed, lesions or GABAergic agonism of the VP decrease cocaine self-administration as well as the reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior by cocaine-priming or footshock (Hubner and Koob, 1990; McFarland et al., 2001, 2004; Robledo and Koob, 1993). However, results of microinjection studies are regarded as inconclusive by some, as saline or artificial cerebrospinal fluid microinjections into VP can disrupt motivated behavior (Chrobak and Napier, 2002). Therefore, by using single-unit recordings during cocaine self-administration behavior, we hypothesized that VP firing rate changes would be associated with instrumental responding and present the first evidence in support of this hypothesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and surgery

Male Long-Evans rats (n = 21, 300–350 g; Charles River, USA) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.p.). Prior to surgery, subjects received injections of atropine methyl nitrate (10 mg/kg, i.p.) and penicillin G (75,000 U/0.25 ml, i.m.) to reduce the risk of pulmonary edema and bacterial infection, respectively. Anesthesia was maintained with periodic i.p. injections of ketamine hydrochloride (60 mg/kg, i.p.). Following catheter implantation into the right jugular vein, a 2 × 8 or 5 × 3 array of Teflon-coated stainless steel microwires (California Fine Wire, Grover Beach, CA) was implanted into the right VP (2 × 8 array: 0.0–2.8 mm AP; 1.6–2.2 ML; −7.7 DV; 5 × 3 array: −0.6 to 0.7 mm AP; 1.2–2.7 ML; −7.7 DV; Paxinos and Watson, 1997) and was secured with dental cement. The diameter of each uninsulated microwire tip was 50 μm. For both the arrays, anteroposterior distances between wires were 0.350 mm (wire center to center). Mediolateral distances were 0.5 mm in the 2 × 8 and 0.3 mm in the 5 × 3 array (wire center to center). An insulated 0.01 inches ground wire, stripped 5 mm from the tip, was implanted 7 mm ventrally from the skull in the left hemisphere. After surgery, rats were individually housed with access to food and water in the cocaine self-administration chambers to recover for at least a week. Protocols were performed in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH, Publications 86-5-23) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Rutgers University.

Cocaine self-administration

Prior to self-administration sessions, a nonretractable glass lever was mounted on a side wall of the chamber. Session onset was signaled by illumination of a stimulus light above the lever. Each lever press was immediately followed by an intravenous infusion of cocaine (0.24 mg/0.2 ml), a 7.5 s tone (3.5 kHz, 70 dB) that corresponded with the operation of a syringe pump, and a 40 s time-out during which the stimulus light was extinguished and lever presses had no programmed consequence. All self-administration sessions were 6 h in duration. Rats were never drug primed.

Drug level calculation

Assuming first-order pharmacokinetics, calculated drug levels were determined over successive infusions by the equation (Yokel and Pickens, 1974):

\[ B_n = (B_{n-1} + D)e^{-KT_n} \]

where \( T_n \) = the time since the previous cocaine infusion (min), \( D \) = infusion dose (mg/kg), \( B_{n-1} \) = cocaine level at time of last infusion (mg/kg), and \( K \) = rate constant (0.693/\text{time}) reflecting the metabolic half-life for cocaine (Nayak et al., 1976).

Electrophysiological procedures

Recordings occurred between days 15 and 20 of self-administration training. The rationale for recording at this time point corresponds with the development of escalated drug intake, posited to exemplify “addiction-like behavior” in rats (Ahmed and Koob, 1998; Deroche-Gamonet et al., 2004). Recording at this time point also corresponded to fully manifested drug-induced alterations in VP receptor expression (Hammer, 1989). In addition, well-trained animals quickly load to a relatively stable asymptotic drug level that exhibits little variability during the 6–10 h recording session, mitigating pharmacological differences between lever presses. One recording session per microwire contributed to the dataset. Neural signals were led through a preamplifier that differentially amplified (10×) the signal on the recording electrode.
against another microwire that did not exhibit a single unit. The signal was then band-pass filtered (roll-off 1.5 dB/octave at 1 kHz and 6 dB/octave at 11 kHz) and amplified 700×. Using software and hardware of DataWave Technologies (Longmont, CO), electrical signals were sampled (50 kHz sampling frequency per wire) and stored for offline analysis. During each experiment, electrophysiological recordings began 30 min before the start of the self-administration session and continued for 1 h after the session.

Isolation and separation of individual neural waveforms from background noise and waveforms of other neurons recorded from the same microwire were conducted posthoc using Datawave spike sorting and separation software. First, neural discharges were sorted in terms of waveform parameters, including valley voltage, peak voltage, voltages at four user-defined time cursors, spike height, and peak time. A scatter plot of any two waveform parameters was displayed in a window, with four windows (eight parameters) displayed on one screen simultaneously. Each point plotted on the scatter plot corresponded to one recorded discharge. Each cluster of dots represented similar waveforms, which were separated from other clusters by enclosing it within a “cutting box.” All waveforms of the putative individual neuron during the entire session (6–10 h) were then displayed in temporal order on a computer-simulated oscilloscope to assess the stability of neural waveforms within session. Waveforms whose parameters did not remain stable were discarded. Second, an interspike interval (ISI) histogram was constructed. If discharges occurred within the first 2 ms in the ISI, corresponding to a neuron’s natural refractory period, the recording was not considered that of a single neuron and was discarded. When more than a single sample of neural waveforms appeared to have been recorded from a given wire, cross-correlation histograms were used to confirm that the sample corresponded to distinct neurons. If discharges occurred within the first 2 ms in the cross-correlation and both neurons contained 0 discharges within their individual ISI’s, both neurons were considered independent single units. Neurons exhibiting signal-to-noise ratios less than 2:1 were discarded.

Construction of peri-event time histograms

The initial 10 rapidly spaced “loading” self-infusions were excluded from analysis to remove pharmacological differences across lever presses. All other reinforced lever presses were analyzed, which averaged 41.27 ± 0.39 reinforced presses per session.

Rapid-phasic changes in firing that occurred within seconds of the reinforced lever press were determined by constructing rasters and peri-event time histograms (PETHs) that displayed neuronal discharges within ±12 s of each lever press. Offset of the cocaine-reinforced lever press was used as the node around which PETHs were constructed. Using these histograms, the magnitude of changes in firing was standardized and calculated for all neurons. Neurons that exhibited rapid changes in firing related to the instrumental response did not exhibit these changes in firing prior to 3 s before the lever press. Therefore, the period between 9 and 3 s prior to each lever press served as the baseline period. For changes in firing that commenced within the 3 s prior to the lever press, a ratio, \( B/(A + B) \), was calculated for every neuron as a measure of change in firing relative to baseline. The ratio equally weights increases and decreases in firing rate. \( A \) was equal to the mean firing rate of the neuron during the baseline time window (−9 to −3 s) before each lever press. \( B \) was equal to the firing rate of the neuron during the firing window. Analysis of the firing window began at −3 s. The firing window was determined as follows (as described in Ghitza et al., 2006). (1) Onset of the firing window was defined as the first of four consecutive 100-ms bins in which the neuron exhibited at least a 20% change from baseline firing rate. These criteria were used to rule out any spurious fluctuations in activity and yet to be sensitive enough to detect even relatively small changes. (2) Offset of the firing window was defined as either the first of four consecutive 100-ms bins after the onset of the firing window when the neuron no longer exhibited at least a 20% change from baseline or as the time of the lever press (defined as time 0), whichever occurred first.

For changes in firing that commenced following the lever press, a ratio, \( B/(A + B) \), was calculated for every neuron in the following manner. \( A’ \) was equal to the baseline firing rate (−9 to −3 s before each lever press). \( B’ \) was equal to the mean firing rate of the neuron during the firing window. The firing window was determined as follows. (1) Onset of the firing window was defined as the first of four consecutive 100-ms bins in the 6 s following the lever press in which the neuron exhibited at least a 20% change from baseline. (2) Offset of the firing window was defined as the first of four consecutive 100-ms bins after the onset of the firing window when the neuron no longer exhibited at least a 20% change in firing relative to baseline. While prepress firing was separated from postpress firing for purposes of interpreting their possible correlations with behavior, individual neurons can exhibit changes in firing both before and after the lever press (Ghitza et al., 2004). Some neurons failed to exhibit a change of 20%, but to include them in the analysis, along with all other neurons, a standard firing window was assigned to them. This was defined as the average firing window exhibited by neurons that showed at least a 20% change. The average prepress firing window started
Histological procedures

The histological procedures used to verify the location of each recorded neuron were described in previous reports (Fabbricatore et al., 2009; Ghitza et al., 2003, 2004). The location of all wire tips were marked by anodal current (50 mA, 4 s) leaving an iron deposit that was subsequently visualized with a 5% potassium ferrocyanide and 10% HCl solution. The sections (50 μm) were counterstained with a 0.2% solution of Neutral Red and coverslipped. If all implanted microwire tracks were identified from their entry into cortex to their tips (blue spots by potassium ferrocyanide staining of iron deposits), microwire tip positions were subsequently histologically localized. If any of the implanted microwires could not be identified, neural data from the animal were discarded. Two investigators blind to recorded neural activity reconstructed all microwire three-dimensional positions (intrarater reliability: 96.23%) according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1997). Placement reliability was defined as microwires localized (1) onto the identical anteroposterior plate; (2) within 300 μm of placements (hypotenuse of mediolateral and dorsoventral); and (3) within the same brain region. Microwires localized outside the subcommissural VP or within ± 150 μm of any noncommissural VP border were discarded.

RESULTS

Behavior

Consistent with the “long-access” model of cocaine self-administration (Ahmed and Koob, 1998), animals significantly increased the number of self-administered infusions over 2 weeks of training \(F(20, 273) = 6.927, P < 10^{-14}\). The cumulative drug intake (mg/kg) significantly increased over daily self-administrations \(F(20, 273) = 7.203, P < 10^{-15}\) from 23.49 ± 3.18 mg/kg on day 1 to 37.40 ± 1.29 mg/kg on day 14.

During neural recording sessions, self-administration behavior was characterized by an initial period of rapid lever pressing followed by self-infusions at regular intervals such that calculated blood level remained within stable limits, assuming constant pharmacokinetics. Because of differences in body weight, drug dose varied across animals ranging between 0.67 and 0.83 mg/kg/inf. The average infusion size was 0.74 ± 0.01 mg/kg/inf and animals maintained asymptotic drug levels of 4.04 ± 0.08 mg/kg.

Neural

Fifty-four single units were recorded from 53 microwires out of 82 total microwires localized in the subcommissural VP (Fig. 1; Paxinos and Watson, 1997). VP neurons exhibited predominantly biphasic waveforms (96.30%) with a paucity of triphasic waveforms (3.70%), similar to a previous report (McDaid et al., 2005). Baseline firing rates of VP neurons, defined as 9 to 3 s prior to reinforced lever presses during self-administration, averaged 2.97 ± 1.15 impulses/s, ranging between 0.04 and 44.20 impulses/s, similar to a prior awake behaving VP recording that ranged from less than 0.5 impulses/s to greater than forty impulses/s (Tindell et al., 2004).

VP neurons exhibited relatively normal distributions in their standardized prepress and postpress firing rate changes as illustrated in Figures 2A and 2B, respectively. As a population, prepress and postpress changes in firing rate were directionally sensitive. That is, the standardized change in prepress firing rates significantly correlated with standardized changes in postpress firing rates, \(r = 0.485, P < 0.001\) (Fig. 2C). Although many neurons displayed relatively small firing change magnitudes, the neurons with the greatest behavioral relevance may be those exhibiting large changes in firing before or after the lever press. Therefore, we examined some of the larger (e.g., > twofold) changes in firing rates by VP neurons for illustration purposes.

One population of neurons exhibited greater than twofold phasic decreases in firing rate either prior to or following the lever press (10/54, 18.52%). Of these, four (40%) neurons exhibited decreases solely during the prepress firing window (example: Fig. 3A) while three (30%) exhibited decreases exclusive to the postpress firing window (example: Fig. 3B). Three (30%) neurons exhibited decreases in firing rates during both prepress and postpress firing windows (examples: Figs. 3C and 3D). Other neurons that did not exhibit greater than twofold decreases nonetheless exhibited phasic changes (example: Fig. 3E). While some neurons exhibited shorter suppressions of firing rate after the lever press (example: Fig. 3F), the average postpress suppression of firing rates lasted 3.40 ± 0.37 s, ranging between 0.4 to the maximum analyzed 6 s.

A second population of neurons exhibited a greater than twofold phasic increase in firing rate either prior to or following the lever press (9/54, 16.67%). Of these, one (11.11%) neuron exhibited an increase solely during the prepress firing window while three (33.33%) neurons exhibited increases solely during the postpress firing window (examples: Figs. 3G and 3H). Five (55.56%) neurons exhibited increases in firing rate during both pre and postpress firing windows (example: Fig. 3I). Other neurons that did not exhibit twofold increases nonetheless exhibited phasic changes (examples: Figs. 3J–3L). The average duration of postpress increases in firing rate was 2.91 ± 0.26 s, ranging between 0.7 to the maximum analyzed 6 s.

To determine whether phasic firing changes were organized as a function of individual microwire positions, self-administration history of animals, or base-
line firing rates of individual neurons, these variables were correlated with prepress and postpress changes in firing rate. There were no significant correlations between baseline firing rate, prepress, or postpress standardized changes in firing rate with anatomical positions of the microwires or with cocaine self-administration history (cumulative prior infusions, drug intake, or unreinforced presses), $P > 0.05$.

**DISCUSSION**

Given the neuroanatomical position of the VP within basal forebrain circuitry, coupled with recent published findings implicating ventral pallidal throughput in cocaine-seeking behavior, we hypothesized that single VP neurons would exhibit firing rate changes during cocaine seeking.
changes during drug-reinforced lever presses. Indeed, the present examination found single VP neurons that exhibited rapid-phasic increases or decreases in firing rate during the seconds prior to and/or following cocaine-reinforced lever presses. Since lever press–related firing rate changes occurred in animals that
voluntarily increased drug intake over weeks of training, consistent with preclinical observations of “addiction-like behavior” in rats (Ahmed and Koob, 1998; Deroche-Gamonet et al., 2004), our results support the notion that VP has a role in established drug-seeking behavior.

Phasic firing rate increases or decreases in VP neurons, especially those that occurred prior to the drug-reinforced lever press, were not likely the result of pharmacological changes nor were they direct locomotor correlates. Based on the pharmacokinetic profile as determined using the group mean asymptotic self-administered drug level, cocaine level decays by 0.0027 mg/kg over the average 1.7 s prepress firing window, which is less than one-tenth the intravenous cocaine dose that produces half maximal firing of VP neurons (Johnson and Napier, 1996). Therefore, it is improbable that such a miniscule decay in drug level could have accounted for observed phasic firing rate changes. With regard to whether locomotor activity is correlated with phasic patterns, locomotion is greatest during the thirty seconds prior to the reinforced lever press using this dose and FR1 schedule (Peoples et al., 1998), yet changes in firing rate were observed within 3 s of the press but not during the baseline (9 to 3 s prepress). As locomotor activity is elevated during both the periods, locomotion alone does not explain changes in firing rate around the lever press. Furthermore, because VP neurons do not exhibit locomotor “step” correlations (Tindell et al., 2004) the observed changes in firing rate prior to the cocaine reinforced lever press may have been related to drug-seeking behavior. Given the monosynaptic projection from NAcc to VP (Haber and Nauta, 1983; Zahm and Heimer, 1990) and because lever press-related firing of NAcc neurons during cocaine self-administration is specifically correlated with drug-seeking behavior (Peoples et al., 1997), VP firing rate changes prior to the reinforced lever press may represent a cocaine-seeking correlate. It is likely that postpress changes in firing rate were also related to self-administration behavior given their significant correlation with prepress changes in firing rate, which have the same time constraints on pharmacological influences as prepress changes. Although related, several VP neurons exhibited selective changes in firing rate during prepress or postpress firing windows, suggesting that differential processing of self-administration behavior occurs over the cocaine-reinforced lever press. Prepress changes may be associated with drug-seeking behavior while postpress changes may be related to processing the successful completion of drug-seeking behavior or the anticipation of forthcoming cocaine. However, it is also possible that postpress firing was influenced by the presence of the conditioned stimulus during the postpress firing window.

The fact that phasic activity in the VP is similar to that found in NAcc is not surprising, especially as NAcc is the main afferent of the VP (Haber and Nauta 1983; O’Donnell et al., 1997; Zahm and Heimer, 1990). As in the NAcc, the direction and durations of VP firing rate changes at the reinforced lever press varied across neurons (Carelli and Deadwyler, 1994; Ghitza et al., 2004), suggesting that similar to the proposed functional organization of NAcc neurons (Groenewegen et al., 1996; O’Donnell, 2003; Pennartz et al., 1994), VP information processing may be subserved by neuronal ensembles. Furthermore, it appears that both within-NAcc and within-VP firing rate directionality is characteristically heterogeneous at the cocaine reinforced lever press. The differential contribution of rapid phasic increase and decrease firing patterns in VP neurons is not understood but likely involves NAcc throughput and perhaps pharmacological compensatory mechanisms as well.

As NAcc neurons exhibit increasing or decreasing firing rate changes at the reinforced lever press, the most parsimonious explanation of different firing rate change directionalities of VP neurons during the same behavioral event is through GABAergic inhibition or disinhibition of VP neurons, respectively. However, much of the GABAergic NAcc projection to VP is opioid colocalized (Haber and Nauta 1983; Zahm et al., 1985). As opioids are antagonistic to GABA (Chrobak and Napier, 1993; Kalivas et al., 2001), perhaps due to presynaptic μ-opioid receptors (Olive et al., 1997), NAcc firing may produce heterogeneous firing rate directionalities within VP. That is, VP neurons which coexpress GABAergic and enkephalinergic terminals may differ in their responsiveness to NAcc throughput from other neurons selectively expressing GABAergic terminals (Zahm et al., 1985). In addition, NAcc projects substance P to VP (Haber and Nauta, 1983; Napier et al., 1995), which elicits increases in firing rate in VP neurons (Napier et al., 1995). As substance P antagonism blocks increased firing rates of VP neurons following NAcc stimulation (Mitrovic and Napier, 1998) and substance P is rarely colocalized with GABAergic or enkephalinergic VP terminals (Zahm et al., 1985), substance P projected from NAcc is likely an additional contributor to heterogeneous firing patterns within VP during the cocaine-reinforced lever press.

Neuronal transmission within VP may also be altered by chronic cocaine exposure, which renders VP neurons less sensitive to GABA-induced increases in firing rate and more sensitive to opioid- and glutamate-induced increases in firing rate, compared with saline treated animals (McDaid et al., 2005). Indeed, opioid receptor expression is increased 48% in rat VP in response to 2-week experimenter administered 10 mg/kg but not 1 mg/kg cocaine (Hammer, 1989).
Furthermore, dynorphin concentrations are increased by 346% in postmortem human cocaine user's VP (Frankel et al., 2008). Although confirmation of such a mechanism is not demonstrated in the present data, it is plausible that GABAergic and opioidergic signals enhance VP neuronal firing pattern heterogeneity in the cocaine-experienced animal. Given that intra-VP GABA agonists as well as opioid antagonists block reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior (McFarland et al., 2001, 2004; Tang et al., 2005), the possible influence of colocalized peptides and their interaction with endogenous VP neurotransmitters on drug-seeking behavior warrants further investigation.

Neurochemical innervation of the VP arising from nonaccumbal regions also likely influenced VP firing rates during self-administration. Dopamine is a robust modulator of VP firing rates (Napier et al., 1991; Napier and Maslowski-Cobuzzi, 1994) and extracellular dopamine concentrations in this region increase during cocaine self-administration (Sizemore et al., 2000). Cocaine's principle mechanism of action is the inhibition of the dopamine transporter, which has been strongly linked with cocaine's reinforcing properties (Ritz et al., 1987). Dopamine generally attenuates GABA-induced decreases as well as glutamatergic increases in VP firing (Johnson and Napier, 1997), perhaps directly affecting the observed lever press-related firing. In turn, VP is likely to alter several other dopaminoceptive targets that are themselves key components of reward circuitry. Among the various pallidal efferent projections associated with appetitive processing, two main projection systems are likely to have widespread impact upon dopaminoceptive targets. First, the pathways from the VP to the medial prefrontal cortex are segregated into two circuits, each of which may project discrete signals to NAcc. Ventromedial VP neurons project to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex via the medial-MD thalamus, while dorsolateral VP neurons project to the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex via the medial-MD thalamus (Churchill et al., 1996; Groenewegen et al., 1993; O'Donnell et al., 1997; Zahm et al., 1996). [Correction to paragraph “Ventromedial VP ...” made after initial online publication.] The prefrontal projections to NAcc (Sesack et al., 1989) and back to VP (Zahm and Heimer, 1990) likely form independent parallel loops, which differentially process information related to motivated behaviors (Alexander et al., 1986). Although this experiment did not reveal significant correlations between firing rate changes around the lever press and anatomical microwire positions, future investigations comparing ventromedial VP and dorsolateral VP neuronal firing patterns may reveal topographic VP information processing. This will require additional histochemical techniques to those used presently (Zahm et al., 1996) in order to delineate VP subregions. Second, VP is positioned to alter the efficacy of the “limbic/cognitive/motor” serial striatomesencephalic and mesencephalostriatal spiral loop circuit from shell to putamen (Haber et al., 2000), via GABAergic as well as glutamatergic pallidomesencephalic projections (Geisler et al., 2007; Kalivas et al., 1993). The efferent projections of the VP are therefore positioned to influence dopamine output to medial prefrontal cortex, NAcc, and dorsal striatum, which have all been linked to cocaine self-administration (Belin and Everitt, 2008; Goeders and Smith, 1983; McGregor et al. 1996; Roberts et al., 1977).

Integrating the present data with the known involvement of the dopamine system in drug-seeking, it is likely that the VP is a critical nucleus in regulating cocaine-seeking behavior by virtue of its capacity to influence the ventral and dorsal striatopallidal systems whether via thalamic, subthalamic or mesencephalic routes. This capacity for widespread influence may explain why VP lesions or pharmacological challenges block cocaine-induced conditioned place preference (Gong et al., 1997), self-administration (Hubner and Koob, 1990; Robledo and Koob, 1993), and reinstatement (McFarland et al., 2001, 2004; Tang et al., 2005). The observed changes in firing rate around the reinforced lever press provide insight into the activity of individual VP neurons during drug-seeking behavior. While the precise neurophysiological mechanisms underlying phasic changes in VP firing during appetitive behavior remain to be elucidated, demonstrating VP firing changes during cocaine self-administration behavior is an important initial step in studying the necessary role of ventral striatopallidal circuitry in cocaine-seeking behavior.
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