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Natural languages vary widely in their morphology and syntax. For example, English is an isolating language with rigid word order and a grammatical system of tenses and modals. At the other extreme, Kalaallisut (Eskimo-Aleut: Greenland) is a polysynthetic language with "free" word order and a tenseless grammatical system that marks illocutionary mood and centering. Nevertheless, a discourse in one language can be translated into any other language.

An influential approach to crosslinguistic compositional semantics attributes this semantic convergence to an abstract syntactic level of "Logical Form" (LF), which on this view serves as the input to universal compositional rules. However, there is still no formally precise syntactic theory that would generate all and only the requisite input LF's independently of the desired semantic output.

In this course we will explore an alternative approach, without any LF. Instead, linguistic forms are built and interpreted directly by universal rules of Combinatory Categorial Grammar (CCG, Steedman 2000). Each CCG-rule consists of a syntactic rule and a correlated semantic rule. Given a language-specific lexicon the syntactic rule builds a well-formed (morphological or syntactic) string. The correlated semantic rule translates this natural language string into a typed logic with explicit syntax and model-theoretic semantics. The typed logic we will use is Update with Centering (UC, Bittner 2009), a dynamic system which formally represents changing states of information and focus of attention in discourse (formalizing Grosz et al. 1995).

The resulting formal system captures semantic convergence across linguistic diversity in semantic terms—to wit, universal combinatory rules (CCG), universal ontology of possible discourse referents (UC), universal discourse-initial defaults (UC), and so on. The general claim is that all natural languages (e.g. both English and Kalaallisut) agree on these semantic universals even though they may express equivalent meanings by very different grammatical forms.

• PREREQUISITES:
This course presupposes working knowledge of predicate logic, type theory, and some theory of compositional semantics (LF-based or direct, static or dynamic). Knowledge of some theory of discourse dynamics (e.g. DRT) will be helpful, but is not required.

• REQUIREMENTS:
For credit you are required to write a short paper (5–7 pages) developing a CCG + UC fragment of some language.

• OVERVIEW OF THE COURSE
M1. Introduction.
M2. Semantic composition: Kalaallisut in CCG + UC1
Read: Jelinek 1984
W2. UC1 + events = UC2. Kalaallisut and English in CCG + UC2.
Read: Kratzer 1996
M3. UC2 + worlds = UC3. English in CCG + UC3.
Read: Bittner 2009: Sec. 1–3
Read: Bittner 2009: Sec. 4–5
Deadline: Course papers due
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TRANSLATION EQUIVALENCE: ENGLISH & KALAALLISUT

• Once upon a time in the Far North (English)

(1) Once upon a time there was a man who had an enemy.
(2) Once when he was hunting in his kayak he saw another kayak.
(3) Approaching it he saw his enemy lying in wait for a seal.
(4) He wanted to harpoon him but the guy harpooned him first.
(5) The man capsized and drowned.
(6) The killer went home and told the story.

• Dash of Kalaallisut grammar:

Ling. type: polysynthetic morphology (Sapir 1921) 'free' word order (head final default)

Categories: verbs inflect for mood and pronominal 'agreement' (pn)
• iv (intransitive verb) requires subject pn
• tv (transitive verb) requires subject pn₁ + object pn₂
nouns inflect for nominal agreement and case
• cn (common noun) requires number -Ø (singular) | -pl
• rn (relational noun) requires possessor pn + number -Ø | pl
particles don’t inflect

Centering: τ = topic, ⊥ = background
pn₁ = τ-pronoun, or update antecedent to ⊥
pn₂ = ⊥-pronoun, or update antecedent to ⊥

Case: τ₁₋₁ = absolutive (iv subject, tv object) … -pn₁ | -pn₂
-ERG τ₁₋₁ = ergative (tv subject, m possessor) … -pn₁ | -pn₂
-MOD = modifier (of head x, where x ∈ [iv, tv, cn, rn])

Mood: matrix moods mark illocutionary force, e.g.
• DEC₀ = declarative iv (main assertion about τ)
• DEC₁ = declarative tv (main assertion relating τ to ⊥)
dependent moods mark subject centering, e.g.
• ELA₀ = elaboration of τ, ELA₁ = elaboration of ⊥
• FCT₀ = background fact abt τ, FCT₁ = background fact abt ⊥

Derivation: hundreds of derivational suffixes, including:
• -antip = antipassive
• -rem = remote modality (unrealized, unexpected, undesired)
• -x/y = derives x from y (e.g. nominalizers -m/tv, -cn/iv, …)

• Once upon a time in the Far North (Kalaallisut)

(1) Qanga anguitiqarpug akiralimmik.
qanga angut-qar-pu-q akiraq-liq-mik
long.ago man-have-DEC₀,-3S enemy-with-MOD
Long ago there was a man who had (an) enemy(ies).

(2,3) Ilaanni qajaqturluni allamik qaajasigani
ilaanni qajaq-turl-llu-ni alla-mik qaajas-qi-ga-mi
once kayak-use-ELA₀,-3Sr other-MOD kayak-see-FCT₀,-3Sr
uralillugu takuua akiqqani pusimik
urnig-lu-gu taku-pa-a akiraq-ni pusí-mik
approach-ELA₀,-3Sl see-DEC₀,-3S,3S [enemy-3Sr]² seal-MOD
qamassuq.
qama-tu-q
lit.in.wait-ELA₀,-3Sl
Once when he’ was hunting in his kayak he saw another kayak.;
approaching it’ he saw [his] enemy lying in wait for a seal.

(4) Takullugu naliinningnarraa tassaasuma
toku-lu-gu naliq-niar-gaahar-ga-a tass-uma
see-ELA₀,-3Sr harpoon-intend-rem-ELA₀,-3Sr,3S  that-ERG³
ingiarllugu naliippaa.
ingi-lu-gu naliq-pa-a
forestill-ELA₀,-3Sr harpoon-DEC₀,-3Sr,3S
Lit. Seeing him₁ he intended to harpoon him₁ but it¹, forestalling
him₁, harpooned him₁ instead.

(5) Nalitaq kingunnani ipivaq.
nalig-taq-a kingu-lu-ni ipi-pu-q
[harpoon-rem/iv-3S]³ capsize-ELA₀,-3Sr,3S drown-DEC₀,-3S
Lit. [The man he’ harpooned] capsized and drowned.

(6) Tuqummat tuqutsisuaq angirlarani
tuqu-mm-at tuqut-si-taq angirlar-ga-mi
die-FCT₀,-3Sl [kill-antip/cn/iv]³ go.home-FCT₀,-3Sr
uqalattuaqpuq.
uqaluttua-pu-q
tell.story-DEC₀,-3S
Lit. When he’ was dead the killer³ went home and told the story.