

## Imperatives in discourse

---

### 1 CROSS-LINGUISTIC CHARACTERISTICS

#### (SO) SUBJECT (\*EXP) & OBJECT (variable scope)

(1P) a. *Ani bylo wesolo.* (P = Polish)

Ann.DAT was.N cheerfully  
Ann (EXP) was (= felt) cheerful.

b. *Ania byla wesola (choc bylo jej smutno).*  
Ann.NOM was.F cheerful.F (though was.N her.DAT sadly)  
Ann was being (= acted) cheerful (though she felt sad).

(2P) a. \**Badz wesolo! /✓Niech ci bedzie wesolo!*  
be.IMP.2SG cheerfully / OPT you.DAT be.FUT.3SN cheerfully  
Have a good time! (*lit.* May you...)

b. *Badz wesola!*  
be.IMP.2SG cheerful.F  
Be (= act) cheerful!

(3E) a. [Find] my assistant! O > IMP  
b. [Find me an assistant]! IMP > O

(3K) a. *Ikiurtiga naniguk!* (K = Kalaallisut)  
*ikiur-ti-ga nani-gi-uk*  
help-tv\agt-1SG.SG find-IMP-2SG.3SG  
O > IMP

b. *Ikiur-ti-ssaq-nnik nani-ssi-gi-t!* IMP > O  
help-tv\agt-exp/des-1SG.SG.MOD find-antip-IMP-2SG

#### (I) INDIRECT DIRECTIVES (a) |= (b)

(4E) a. Ann said to Ole: “Wash up!”  
b. Ann told Ole to wash up.

(4K) a. *Aanip Ole uqarvigaa: “Irruigit!”*  
*Aani-p Ole uqar-vigi-pa-a: “irruigi-t”*  
A.-ERG Ole say-iv\tv-DEC.TV-3SG.3SG “wash.up-IMP-2SG”  
b. *Aanip Ole uqarvigaa irruiqqullugu.*  
*Aani-p Ole uqar-vigi-pa-a irruiqqu-llu-gu*  
A.-ERG Ole say-iv\tv-DEC.TV-3SG.3SG wash.up-tell-ELA<sub>T</sub>-3SG<sub>L</sub>  
b'. *Aanip Ole irruiquaa. (b) = (b')*  
*Aani-p Ole irruiqqu-pa-a*  
A.-ERG Ole wash.up-tell-DEC.TV-3SG.3SG

## (¬) NEGATIVE DIRECTIVES

(a) |= (b)

- (5E) a. Ann said to me: “Don’t wash up!”  
 b. Ann told me *not* to wash up.

- (5K) a. *Aanip uqarvigaanga:* “*Irruiqinak!*”  
*Aani-p uqar-vigi-pa-anga:* “*irru-qina-k*”  
 A.-ERG say-iv\TV-DEC.TV-3SG.1SG “wash.up-IMP<sub>☞</sub>-2SG”  
 b. *Aanip uqarvigaanga irruiqqunanga.*  
*Aani-p uqar-vigi-pa-anga irrui-qqu-na-nga*  
 A.-ERG say-iv\TV-DEC.TV-3SG.1SG wash.up-tell-NON<sub>↑</sub>-1SG  
 b'. *Aanip irruiqqunngilaanga*  
*Aani-p irrui-qqu-nngit-la-anga*  
 A.-ERG wash.up-tell-not-DEC<sub>☞</sub>-3SG.1SG  
 i. Ann told me not to wash up. = (b)  
 ii. Ann didn’t tell me to wash up.

## (→) CONDITIONAL/ANTECEDENT DIRECTIVES

- (6E) *If my son shows up, help him!*

- (6K) *Irnira takkuppap, ikiuruk!*  
*irniq-ga takkug-pp-at ikiur-gi-uk!*  
 son-1SG.SG show.up-HYP<sub>↓</sub>-3SG<sub>↓</sub> help-IMP-2SG.3SG

- (7P) a. *Jezeli podejdziesz to ci to dam.*  
 if come.closer.NPST.2SG then you.D this.A give.NPST.1SG  
 If you come closer, then I’ll give it to you.  
 b. *Podejdz to ci to dam.*  
 come.closer.IMP.2SG then you.D this.A give.NPST.1SG  
 Come closer; if you do, then I’ll give it to you.

[*Harry Potter*: Prof. McGonagal to Hagrid, who’s sobbing loudly when orphaned baby Harry is about to be left with his Muggle relatives.]

- (8E) a. *Get a grip on yourself or we’ll be found!*  
 b. *Leave your bike here and it’ll be stolen!*  
 c. \**We’ll be found or/and get a grip on yourself!*

- (8K) a. *Iqqissigit! Taamaaliunnngikkuit*  
*iqqissi-gi-t! taama-iliur-nngit-gu-vit*  
 calm.down-IMP-2SG thus-do-not-HYP<sub>↑</sub>-2SG  
*takuniqassaagut*  
*taku-niqar-ssa-pu-gut*  
 see-passive-exp/des-DEC.IV-1PL  
 Calm down! If you don’t, we’ll be seen. (*lit.* [I] expect us to ...)

## (λ) ‘AND’: ASSERTION ~ DIRECTIVE

- assertion (A = Mom, B = Dad) (B) |= (A)

(9E) A: Today Ole set the table

B: Right, he set the table *and* washed up.

(9K) A: *Ole ullumi nirrivilurpuq.*

*Ole ulluq-mi nirrivi-liur-pu-q*

Ole day-LOC table-make-DEC.IV-3SG

B. *Suu, nirrivilurlunilu irruisimavuq.*

*suu nirrivi-liur-llu-ni=lu irru-sima-pu-q.*

right, table-make-ELA<sub>T</sub>-3SG<sub>T</sub>=*and* wash.up-prf-DEC.IV-3SG

- directive (A = Mom, B = Dad, O = Ole, C = Grandma) (B) |≈ (A)

(10E) A: Ole, set the table!

B: Right, set the table *and* wash up!

O to C: I’m told to set the table *and* wash up!

(10K) A: *Ole, nirrivilurit!*

*Ole, nirrivi-liur-gi-t*

Ole, table-make-IMP-2SG

B. *Suu, nirrivilurlutillu irruissaatit!*

*suu, nirrivi-liur-llu-tit=lu irru-ssa-pu-tit*

right, table-make-ELA<sub>T</sub>-2SG=*and* wash.up-exp/des-DEC.IV-2SG

O to C: *Nirrivilurlungalu irruissaangaguuq!*

*nirrivi-liur-llu-nga=lu irru-ssa-pu-nga=guuq*

table-make-ELA<sub>T</sub>-1SG=*and* wash.up-exp/des-DEC.IV-1SG=RPT

## (v) ‘OR’: ASSERTION ≠ DIRECTIVE

- assertion (A = Mom, B = Dad) (B) |= (A)

[Every day two children help at dinner—one sets the table, the other one washes up. B knows Ole was on the team today, but not what his task was.]

(11E) B: Today Ole must’ve set the table or washed up.

A: Right, (he didn’t wash up but) he set the table.

(11K) B: *Ole nirrivilurunarpuq irruigunarpurluunniit.*

*Ole nirrivi-liur-gunar-pu-q irru-gunar-pu-q=luunniit*

Ole table-make-likely-DEC.IV-3SG wash.up-likely-DEC.IV-3SG=*or*

A: *Suu, (irruinngikkaluarluni) nirrivilursimavuq.*

*suu, (irru-nngit-galuar-llu-ni) nirrivi-liur-sima-pu-q*

right, (wash.up-not-rem-ELA<sub>T</sub>-3SG<sub>T</sub>) table-make-prf-DEC.IV-3SG

- directive (A = Mom, B = Dad, O = Ole) (B) ≠ (A)

(12E) A: Ole, set the table or wash up!

B: ? Right, wash up!

O: No, Mom didn't say that. If I want to, she said, I can just set the table. I am not going to wash up.

(12K) A: *Ole, nirriviliurlutilluunniit irruigit!*  
*Ole, nirrivik-liur-llu-tit=luunniit irrui-gi-t*  
 Ole, table-make-ELA<sub>T</sub>-2SG=or wash.up-IMP-2SG

B: ? *Suu, irruigit!*  
*suu, irrui-gi-t*  
 right, wash.up-IMP-2SG

O: *Naamik, anaana taama uqanngilaq.*  
*naamik anaana taama uqar-nngit-la-q*  
 no, mom thus say-not-DEC<sub>ϕ</sub>-3SG  
*Piumagumaguuq nirriviliuinnarsinnaavunga.*  
*pi-juma-gu-ma=guuq nirrivik-liur-innar-sinnaa-pu-nga*  
 v-want-HYP<sub>T</sub>-1SG=RPT table-make-just-possible-DEC.IV-1SG  
*Irruiniangilanga.*  
*irrui-niar-nngit-la-nga*  
 wash.up-intend-not-DEC<sub>ϕ</sub>-1SG

cf. Polish, intensional verbs (*look for, need, want, ...*), and questions:

(13P) A. *Nakryj do stolu albo zmyj naczynia!*  
 set.IMP.2SG to table.G or/\*OR wash.up.IMP.2SG dishes.A

B. #*Tak jest, nakryj do stolu!*  
 right, set.IMP.2SG to table

(14P) A. *Ania poszukuje kucharki albo sprzaczki,*  
 Ann look.for.NPST.3SG cook.G or maid.G

*wszystko jej jedno.*  
 all her.D one

Ann is looking for a maid or a cook, she doesn't care which.

B. #*Tak jest, ona poszukuje kucharki.*  
 right, she look.for.NPST cook.G  
 ?Right, she's looking for a cook.

(15P) A. *Ania poszukuje kucharki czy tez sprzaczki.*  
 Ann look.for.NPST.3SG cook.G OR else maid.G

Ann is looking for a cook or a maid (I don't know which)

B. *Poszukuje kucharki.*  
 look.for.NPST cook.G

- (16P) A. Czy *poszukujesz kucharki* albo *sprzataczki*?  
 OR look.for.NPST.2SG cook.G or maid.G  
 Are you looking for a cook or a maid?  
 B. ✓ *Tak* (, *poszukuje*). | *Nie*, (*nie=poszukuje*)  
 yes (, look.for.NPST.1SG) | no (not=look.for.NPST.1SG)  
 Yes (, I am) | No (. I am not)
- (17P) A. Czy *poszukujesz kucharki* czy *sprzataczki*?  
 OR look.for.NPST.2SG cook.G OR maid.G  
 Are you looking for a cook or a maid?  
 B. ✓ (*Poszukuje*) *kucharki*.  
 (look.for.NPST.1SG) cook.G  
 (I am looking for) a cook.  
 ✓ (*Poszukuje*) *sprzataczki*.  
 (look.for.NPST.1SG) maid.G  
 (I am looking for) a maid.  
 B'. #*Tak* (, *poszukuje*). | # *Nie*, (*nie=poszukuje*)  
 yes (, look.for.NPST.1SG) | no (not=look.for.NPST.1SG)  
 Yes (, I am) | No (. I am not)
- (18) a. Post the letter! **Ross' paradox**  
 b. Post the letter *or* burn it!
- Pippi Longstocking* (Ch. 8 'Pippi entertains two burglars', simplified)
- (19) [There was a loud knock on the door.]
- a. "*Isirit!*" K  
*isir-gi-t*  
 come.in-IMP-2SG  
 "Come in!" (conventional response) E
- b. "*Isirit imaluunniit isiqinak!*, K  
*isir-gi-t imaluunniit isir-qina-k*  
 come.in-IMP-2SG OR come.in-IMP<sub>ę</sub>-2SG  
*illit pi-juma-saq-n-nik!*"  
 2SG v-want-tv\rn-2SG.SG-MOD
- "*Wejdz albo nie(=wchodz),* P  
 come.in.IMP.2SG or not(=come.in.IMP.2SG)  
*jak wolisz.*  
 how/as prefer.NPST.2SG  
 "Come in *or* don't, as you wish!" (said Pippi) E

## (D) DISCOURSE ANAPHORA

(20) Context (myth): A man, carried off by breaking ice and adopted by a bear, is homesick. One day the bear jumps into the sea and calls back:

i. *Angirlarsiruit*

*angirlar-sir-gu-vit*

go.home-long.to-HYP<sub>T</sub>-2SG

*malillunga immamut pissigit!*

*malig-llu-nga imaq-mut pissig-gi-t*

follow-ELA<sub>T</sub>-1SG sea-SG.DAT *jump-IMP-2SG*

If you long to go home, *jump* into the sea after me!

ii. *Pissinnikkuit angirlarnavianngilatit.*

*pissig-nngit-gu-vit angirlar-navianngit-la-tit*

*jump-not-HYP<sub>T</sub>-2SG go.home-certain.not.to-DEC<sub>ε</sub>-2SG*

If you don't *jump*, you certainly won't go home.

On contact with the sea the man becomes a seagull & is now told:

iii. *Siqungirit!* / iii'. *Uiqinak!*

*siqungir-gi-t* / *uit-qina-k*

*shut.eyes-IMP-2SG* / *open.eyes-IMP<sub>ε</sub>-2SG*

*Shut your eyes!* / *Don't open your eyes!*

iv. *Uikkaluaruit angirlarnavianngilatit.*

*uit-galuar-gu-vit angirlar-navianngit-la-tit.*

*open.eyes-rem-HYP<sub>T</sub>-2SG go.home-certain.not.to-DEC<sub>ε</sub>-2SG*

If you *open* your eyes, you certainly won't go home.

(21) i. *Ilaanni Ole qasigissamik puisisimavuq.*

*ilaanni Ole qasigiaq-mik puisi-g-sima-pu-q*

once Ole *harbour.seal-MOD seal-catch-prf-DEC.IV-3SG*

Once Ole caught *a harbour seal*.

ii. *Tikikkami nulii uqarvigaa:*

*tikit-ga-mi nuli-i uqar-vigi-pa-a*

come-FCT<sub>T</sub>-3SG<sub>T</sub> wife-3SG<sub>T</sub>.SG say-to-DEC.TV-3SG.3SG

When he came home, he said to his wife:

iii. “*Amia panirsimappat tuniguk!*”

*amiq-a panir-sima-pp-at tuni-gi-uk*

skin-3SG<sub>⊥</sub>.SG dry-prf-HYP<sub>⊥</sub>-3SG<sub>⊥</sub> *sell-IMP-2SG.3SG*

“When *the* skin has dried *sell* it!”

iv. *Nulia taamaaliurpuq.*

*nuli-a taama=iliur-pu-q*

wife-3SG<sub>⊥</sub>.SG *thus=do-DEC.IV-3SG*

His wife did *so/that*.



**Definition 4.4** (situations & plans)

- $s \subseteq P \times \{1, 0\}$  is a *situation*  
 $S := Pow(P \times \{0, 1\})$  is the set of situations
- $\pi \subseteq A \times \{Y, N\}$  is a *plan*  
 $\Pi := Pow(A \times \{Y, N\})$  is the set of plans.

e.g.

- $s_1 = \{\langle set, 1 \rangle, \langle wsh, 0 \rangle\}$   
 is a situation where *set* is true, and *wsh*, false
- $\pi_1 = \{\langle set!, Y \rangle, \langle wsh!, N \rangle\}$   
 is a plan to do *set!* and not do *wsh!*
- $\emptyset$   
 is the maximally unspecified situation or plan

**Definition 4.6** (possibilities & states)

- $(s, \pi) \in S \times \Pi$  is a *possibility*
- $\sigma \subseteq S \times \Pi$  is a (*cognitive*) *state*  
 $\Sigma := Pow(S \times \Pi)$  is the set of (cognitive) states

e.g.

- $\sigma_1 = \{(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, Y \rangle\}),$   
 $(\{\langle wsh, 0 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, Y \rangle\})\}$   
 exp. of  $\sigma_1$  doesn't know whether *wsh* is true or false, plans to do *pay!*  
 in either case
- $\sigma_2 = \{(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, Y \rangle\}),$   
 $(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, N \rangle\})\}$   
 exp. of  $\sigma_2$  knows that *wsh* is true, undecided whether or not to do *pay!*
- $\sigma_3 = \{(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, Y \rangle\}),$   
 $(\{\langle wsh, 0 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, N \rangle\})\}$   
 exp of  $\sigma_3$  doesn't know whether *wsh* is true or false, plans to do *pay!*  
 if *wsh* is true, not do *pay!* if *wsh* is false
- $\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}$   $\emptyset$   
 maximally unspecified state                      absurd state (update ends)

**Definition 4.7** (consistency & quandy)

- $s$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark s$ ,                      iff  $\neg \exists p \in P: \langle p, 1 \rangle \in s \wedge \langle p, 0 \rangle \in s$
- $\pi$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark \pi$ ,                      iff  $\neg \exists a \in A: \langle a, Y \rangle \in \pi \wedge \langle a, N \rangle \in \pi$
- A possibility  $(s, \pi)$  is a *quandy* iff  $s$  or  $\pi$  is not consistent  
 A state  $\sigma$  is *quandy free* iff there is no quandy in  $\sigma$

e.g.

(28) Poland during WWII. If a Jew is found, he's shot. If a Pole hides a Jew in his home, then the entire Polish family is shot too. Consider a Polish person whose conscience dictates (i) and (ii):

- i. If you see a child in danger, help it.  $see \rightarrow hlp!$
- ii. If you love your family, don't help Jews.  $lov \rightarrow \neg hlp!$
- iii. So, if you love your family and see a Jewish child in danger, ??...

- $\sigma_4 = \{(\langle lov, 1 \rangle, \langle see, 0 \rangle), \langle hlp!, N \rangle\}, (\langle lov, 1 \rangle, \langle see, 1 \rangle), \langle hlp!, Y \rangle, \langle hlp!, N \rangle\}, \dots\}$   
exp of  $\sigma_4$  knows that *lov* is true, doesn't know whether *see* is true or false, plans not to do *hlp!* if *see* is false, is in a quandry if *see* is true.
- $\sigma_5 = \{(\langle see, 0 \rangle, \langle see, 1 \rangle, \dots), \dots\}$   
exp of  $\sigma_5$  is in a quandry whether *see* is true or false

**Definition 4.5** (expansions). For any  $p \in P$ ,  $a \in A$ :

- $s \oplus p = s \cup \{p, 1\}$   
 $s \ominus p = s \cup \{p, 0\}$
- $\pi \oplus a = \pi \cup \{a, Y\}$   
 $\pi \ominus a = \pi \cup \{a, N\}$

**Definition 4.8** (positive update  $\uparrow$ , negative update  $\downarrow$ ). If quandry free,

- p.**  $\sigma \uparrow p = \{(s \oplus p, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus p)\}$   
 $\sigma \downarrow p = \{(s \ominus p, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \checkmark(s \ominus p)\}$
- a.**  $\sigma \uparrow a = \{(s, \pi \oplus a) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma\}$   
 $\sigma \downarrow a = \{(s, \pi \ominus a) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma\}$
- ¬.**  $\sigma \uparrow \neg\phi = \sigma \downarrow \phi$   
 $\sigma \downarrow \neg\phi = \sigma \uparrow \phi$
- v.**  $\sigma \uparrow (\phi \vee \psi) = (\sigma \uparrow \phi) \cup (\sigma \uparrow \psi)$   
 $\sigma \downarrow (\phi \vee \psi) = (\sigma \downarrow \phi) \downarrow \psi$
- ∧.**  $\sigma \uparrow (\phi \wedge \psi) = (\sigma \uparrow \phi) \uparrow \psi$   
 $\sigma \downarrow (\phi \wedge \psi) = (\sigma \downarrow \phi) \cup (\sigma \downarrow \psi)$

Otherwise, the output is  $\emptyset$ .

**P2** (problem):

This approach to imperatives conflates *semantics* (understanding the imperative) with *decision making* (deciding whether to comply)—e.g. in (12) it is not clear how this approach could model Ole's refusal to comply with Dad's command.

## SAMPLE DERIVATIONS:

$$\sigma_0 = \{\langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\}$$

(22) i. Ole set the table.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow \text{set} \\ &= \{(s \oplus \text{set}, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus \text{set})\} && \uparrow p \\ &= \{(s \cup \{\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle\}, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ \checkmark(s \cup \{\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle\})\} && \oplus p \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle, \emptyset)\} && \sigma_0 \end{aligned}$$

ii. Right, he set the table and washed up.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow (\text{set} \wedge \text{wsh}) \\ &= (\sigma_1 \uparrow \text{set}) \uparrow \text{wsh} && \wedge \\ &= \{(s \oplus \text{set}, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \ \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus \text{set})\} \uparrow \text{wsh} && \uparrow p \\ &= \sigma_1 \uparrow \text{wsh} && \oplus p, \sigma_1 \\ &= \{(s \oplus \text{wsh}, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \ \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus \text{wsh})\} && \uparrow p \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{wsh}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset\} && \oplus p, \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$

C: #True, he didn't wash up.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3 &:= \sigma_2 \uparrow \neg \text{wsh} \\ &= \sigma_2 \downarrow \text{wsh} && \neg \\ &= \{(s \ominus \text{wsh}, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_2 \ \& \ \checkmark(s \ominus \text{wsh})\} && \downarrow p \\ &= \{(s \cup \{\langle \text{wsh}, 0 \rangle\}, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_2 \ \& \ \checkmark(s \cup \{\langle \text{wsh}, 0 \rangle\})\} && \ominus p \\ &= \emptyset && \sigma_2, \checkmark s \end{aligned}$$

(28) i. If you see a child in danger, help it!

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow \text{see} \rightarrow \text{hlp!} \\ &= \sigma_0 \uparrow \neg \text{see} \vee (\text{see} \wedge \text{hlp!}) && \rightarrow \\ &= (\sigma_0 \uparrow \neg \text{see}) \cup (\sigma_0 \uparrow \text{see} \wedge \text{hlp!}) && \vee \\ &= (\sigma_0 \downarrow \text{see}) \cup (\sigma_0 \uparrow \text{see}) \uparrow \text{hlp!} && \neg, \wedge \\ &= \{(\langle \text{see}, 0 \rangle, \emptyset)\} \cup \{(\langle \text{see}, 1 \rangle, \emptyset)\} \uparrow \text{hlp!} && \text{as above} \\ &= \{(\langle \text{see}, 0 \rangle, \emptyset)\} \cup \{(\langle \text{see}, 1 \rangle, \{\langle \text{hlp!}, Y \rangle\})\} && \uparrow a, \oplus a \\ &= \{(\langle \text{see}, 0 \rangle, \emptyset), (\langle \text{see}, 1 \rangle, \{\langle \text{hlp!}, Y \rangle\})\} && \cup \end{aligned}$$

ii. If you love your family, don't help Jews!

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow \text{lov} \rightarrow \neg \text{hlp!} \\ &= \emptyset, \\ \text{because } \sigma_1 &\uparrow \neg \text{lov} \vee (\text{lov} \wedge \neg \text{hlp!}) && \rightarrow \\ &= (\sigma_1 \uparrow \neg \text{lov}) \cup (\sigma_1 \uparrow \text{lov} \wedge \neg \text{hlp!}) && \vee \\ &= (\sigma_1 \downarrow \text{lov}) \cup (\sigma_1 \uparrow \text{lov}) \downarrow \text{hlp!} && \neg, \wedge, \neg \\ &= \{(\langle \text{see}, 0 \rangle, \langle \text{lov}, 0 \rangle), \emptyset, (\langle \text{see}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{lov}, 0 \rangle), \{\langle \text{hlp!}, Y \rangle\})\} \\ &\cup \{(\langle \text{see}, 0 \rangle, \langle \text{lov}, 1 \rangle), \{\langle \text{hlp!}, N \rangle\}), \\ &\quad (\langle \text{see}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{lov}, 1 \rangle), \{\langle \text{hlp!}, Y \rangle, \langle \text{hlp!}, N \rangle\})\} && \downarrow a, \ominus a, \dots \end{aligned}$$

## OVERVIEW OF PREDICTIONS:

**MB intuition**

$$\sigma_0 = \{\langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\}$$

**(22)** A: Ole set the table.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow \text{set} \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset\}\end{aligned}$$

✓ (intuitive)

B: Right, he set the table and washed up.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow (\text{set} \wedge \text{wsh}) \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{wsh}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset\}\end{aligned}$$

✓

C: #True, he didn't wash up.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_3 &:= \sigma_2 \uparrow \neg \text{wsh} \\ &= \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

✓

**(23)** A: Ole set the table or washed up.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow (\text{set} \vee \text{wsh}) \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset, (\langle \text{wsh}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset\}\end{aligned}$$

✓

B: Right, he set the table.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow \text{set} \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset, (\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{wsh}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset\}\end{aligned}$$

✓

(see C–C'')

C: True, he didn't wash up.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_3 &:= \sigma_2 \uparrow \neg \text{wsh} \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{wsh}, 0 \rangle), \emptyset\}\end{aligned}$$

✓

=  $\sigma_1 \uparrow \neg \text{wsh}$

C': Indeed, he also washed up.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma'_3 &:= \sigma_2 \uparrow \text{wsh} \\ &= \{(\langle \text{set}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{wsh}, 1 \rangle), \emptyset\}\end{aligned}$$

✓

=  $\sigma_1 \uparrow \text{wsh}$

C'': #Right, he didn't set the table.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma''_3 &:= \sigma_2 \uparrow \neg \text{set} \\ &= \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

✓

≠  $\sigma_1 \uparrow \neg \text{set}$

**(24)** A: Set the table!

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow \text{set!} \\ &= \{(\emptyset, \langle \text{set}, Y \rangle)\}\end{aligned}$$

? (questionable, see **P2**)

B: Right, set the table and wash up!

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow (\text{set!} \wedge \text{wsh!}) \\ &= \{(\emptyset, \langle \text{set!}, Y \rangle, \langle \text{wsh!}, Y \rangle)\}\end{aligned}$$

? (**P2**)

C: #Don't wash up!

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_3 &:= \sigma_2 \uparrow \neg \text{wsh!} \\ &= \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

? (**P2**)

≠  $\sigma_1 \uparrow \neg \text{wsh!}$

- (25)** A. Set the table or wash up!  
 $\sigma_1 := \sigma_0 \uparrow (set! \vee wsh!)$  ? (P2)  
 $= \{(\emptyset, \{\langle set!, Y \rangle\}), (\emptyset, \{\langle wsh!, Y \rangle\})\}$
- B. ?Right, set the table!  
 $\sigma_2 := \sigma_1 \uparrow set!$  × (counter-intuitive)  
 $= \{(\emptyset, \{\langle set!, Y \rangle\}), (\emptyset, \{\langle set!, Y \rangle, \langle wsh!, Y \rangle\})\}$
- (26)** i. Ole either has or has not washed up.  
 $\sigma_1 := \sigma_0 \uparrow (wsh \vee \neg wsh)$  ✓  
 $= \{(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \emptyset), (\{\langle wsh, 0 \rangle\}, \emptyset)\}$
- ii. If he has, pay him!  
 $\sigma_2 := \sigma_1 \uparrow (wsh \rightarrow pay!)$  ? (P1, P2)  
 $= \{(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, Y \rangle\}), (\{\langle wsh, 0 \rangle\}, \emptyset)\}$
- iii. If he hasn't, don't!  
 $\sigma_3 := \sigma_2 \uparrow (\neg wsh \rightarrow \neg pay!)$  ? (P1, P2)  
 $= \{(\{\langle wsh, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, Y \rangle\}), (\{\langle wsh, 0 \rangle\}, \{\langle pay!, N \rangle\})\}$
- (25)** i. Don't open your eyes!  
 $\sigma_1 := \sigma_0 \uparrow \neg opn!$  ? (P2)  
 $= \{(\emptyset, \{\langle opn!, N \rangle\})\}$
- ii. If you open your eyes, you won't go home.  
 $\sigma_2 := \sigma_1 \uparrow (opn \rightarrow \neg ghm)$  ×  
 $= \{(\{\langle opn, 0 \rangle\}, \{\langle opn!, N \rangle\}), (\{\langle opn, 1 \rangle, \langle ghm, 0 \rangle\}, \{\langle opn!, N \rangle\})\}$
- (26)** i. If you see a child in danger, help him.  
 $\sigma_1 := \sigma_0 \uparrow (see \rightarrow hlp!)$  ? (P1, P2)  
 $= \{(\{\langle see, 1 \rangle\}, \{\langle hlp!, Y \rangle\}), (\{\langle see, 0 \rangle\}, \emptyset)\}$
- ii. If you love your family, don't help Jews.  
 $\sigma_2 := \sigma_1 \uparrow (lov \rightarrow \neg hlp!)$  ✓/? (P1)  
 $= \emptyset$

## 3 UPDATE WITH ACTION PLANS (Mastop 2005, Ch. 5)

NOTE: In what follows I simplify Mastop's ch. 5 system to focus on temporal issues. I haven't been able to apply his ch. 5 system as it stands (the definitions don't add up to a coherent story) so I have done my best to correct his definitions along the lines of what I take to be his basic ideas (e.g. as indicated below, my definition 1 is based on Mastop's definitions A.10–A.12 on pp. 167–172). Finally, I have modified his terminology to conform to English usage, and his notation, to make it easier to understand.

**Definition 1** (Vocabulary & syntax) (cf. A.10-12)

0.  $N = \{al, ed, \dots\}$   
 $V^0 = \{set, wsh, out, \dots\}$   
 $V^1 = \{pay, find, lv, \dots\}$   
 $V^2 = \{hand, give, send, \dots\}$
- i.  $\beta\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n+1} \in UP_0$  if  $\beta \in V^n$  &  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in N$   
 $\beta\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n! \in UP_0$  if  $\beta \in V^n$  &  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in N$
- ii.  $UP_0 \subseteq UP_1$   
 $\neg\phi \in UP_1$  if  $\phi \in UP_1$   
 $\phi \wedge \psi, \phi \vee \psi \in UP_1$  if  $\phi, \psi \in UP_1$
- ii'.  $\phi \rightarrow \psi := \neg\phi \vee (\phi \wedge \psi)$  if  $\phi$  does not contain any '!'
- iii.  $UP_1 \subseteq UP_2$   
 $\mathbf{P}\phi, \mathbf{F}\phi, \mathbf{T}\phi \in UP_2$  if  $\phi \in UP_2$

- e.g.**      English       $UP_2$
- (1)    A: Set the table!       $set!$   
       B: Right, set the table and wash up!       $set! \wedge wsh!$
- (2)    i. Today Ed helped Jo (a bit).       $\mathbf{TPhlp jo ed}$   
       ii. He washed up.       $\mathbf{Pwsh ed}$
- [Jo to Ed]
- (3)    i. Don't go out!       $\neg out!$   
       ii. If you do, I'll leave you.       $\mathbf{F}(out ed \rightarrow lv ed jo)$
- (3')    i. Don't go out today!       $\mathbf{T}\neg out!$   
       ii. If you do, I'll leave you.       $\mathbf{F}(out ed \rightarrow lv ed jo)$
- (4)    i. Ed either did or did not wash up.       $\mathbf{P}(wsh ed \vee \neg wsh ed)$   
       ii. If he did, pay him!       $\mathbf{P}(wsh ed \rightarrow pay ed!)$   
       iii. if he didn't, don't!       $\mathbf{P}(\neg wsh ed \rightarrow \neg pay ed!)$

**Definition 2** ( $UP_2$  models)

(cf. A.1–2)

A  $UP_2$ -model is a tuple  $\langle D, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}, \text{EXE}, \langle T, <, \subseteq \rangle, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ , where

- a.  $D$  is a set of *individuals*, incl. *humans*  $H \subseteq D$   
 $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of *types of planned actions*  
 $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of *types of realized events*  
 $\text{EXE}: \mathcal{A} \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  (event type: execution of  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  by human  $h \in H$ )
- b.  $T$  is a set of *times*, including *days*  $T_0 \subseteq T$   
 $t \subseteq \text{PST } t'$  iff  $t < t'$  (past of  $t'$ )  
 $t \subseteq \text{FUT } t'$  iff  $t' < t$  (future of  $t'$ )  
 $t \subseteq \text{TOD } t'$  iff  $\exists t'' (t'' \in T_0 \ \& \ t \subseteq t'' \ \& \ t' \subseteq t'')$  (today of  $t'$ )
- c.  $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \in D$  if  $\alpha \in N$   
 $\llbracket \beta \rrbracket: D^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  if  $\beta \in V^n$

**Definition 3** (situations & plans)

(cf. A.3)

- $s \subseteq \mathcal{E} \times T \times \{1, 0\}$  is a *situation*  
 $S := \text{Pow}(\mathcal{E} \times T \times \{0, 1\})$  is the set of situations
- $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times T \times \{Y, N\}$  is a *plan*  
 $\Pi := \text{Pow}(\mathcal{A} \times T \times \{Y, N\})$  is the set of plans

e.g.

- $s_1 = \{\langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \text{pay} \rrbracket)(\llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket), \llbracket \text{al} \rrbracket, t_{-1}, 1 \rangle\}$   
is a situation where  $\llbracket \text{al} \rrbracket$  executes an action of type  $\llbracket \text{pay} \rrbracket(\llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket)$  in  $t_{-1}$
- $\pi_1 = \{\langle \llbracket \text{pay} \rrbracket(\llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket), t_1, Y \rangle, \langle \llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket, t_2, N \rangle\}$   
is a plan to execute a  $\llbracket \text{pay} \rrbracket(\llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket)$ -action in  $t_1$ , no  $\llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket$ -action in  $t_2$
- $\emptyset$  is the maximally unspecified situation (or plan)

**Definition 4** (temporal restriction)

(cf. A.15)

- $(s|t) := \{\langle E, t', 1 \rangle \mid t' \leq t\} \cup \{\langle E, t', 0 \rangle \mid t' \leq t\}$  is situation  $s$  up to  $t$   
 $(t|\pi) := \{\langle A, t', Y \rangle \mid t < t'\} \cup \{\langle A, t', N \rangle \mid t < t'\}$  is plan  $\pi$  from  $t$  onward
- situation  $s$  is *t-restricted* iff  $s = (s|t)$ , plan  $\pi$  is *t-restricted* iff  $\pi = (t|\pi)$

e.g. if  $t_{-1} \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2$ 

- $s_1 = \{\langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket), \llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket, t_{-1}, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket), \llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket, t_0, 0 \rangle\}$   
 $= (s_1|t_0)$   
 $\neq (s_1|t_{-1}) = \{\langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket), \llbracket \text{ed} \rrbracket, t_{-1}, 1 \rangle\}$
- $\pi_1 = \{\langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle, \langle \llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket, t_2, N \rangle\}$   
 $= (t_0|\pi)$   
 $\neq (t_1|\pi) = \{\langle \llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket, t_2, N \rangle\}$
- $\emptyset = (t|\emptyset) = (\emptyset|t)$  for all  $t \in T$

**Definition 5** (possibilities, states, contexts) (cf. A.13, 18)

- $(s, \pi) \in S \times \Pi$  is a *possibility*
- $\sigma \subseteq S \times \Pi$  is a (*cognitive*) *state*  
 $\Sigma := Pow(S \times \Pi)$  is the set of (cognitive) states
- $c \in \Sigma \times H \times T \times T$  is a *context*

e.g.

- $\sigma_1 = \{(\langle EXE(\ll wsh \rrbracket, \ll ed \rrbracket), t_{-1}, 1 \rangle), \langle \ll pay \rrbracket(\ll ed \rrbracket), t_1, Y \rangle\},$   
 $\{(\langle EXE(\ll wsh \rrbracket, \ll ed \rrbracket), t_{-1}, 0 \rangle), \langle \ll pay \rrbracket(\ll ed \rrbracket), t_1, N \rangle\}$   
 exp. of  $\sigma_1$  doesn't know whether  $\ll ed \rrbracket$  executed a  $\ll wsh \rrbracket$ -action in  $t_{-1}$ ,  
 plans to execute  $\ll pay \rrbracket(\ll ed \rrbracket)$  in  $t_1$  if  $\ll ed \rrbracket$  did execute  $\ll wsh \rrbracket$  in  $t_{-1}$ , and  
 plans not to execute  $\ll pay \rrbracket(\ll ed \rrbracket)$  in  $t_1$  if  $\ll ed \rrbracket$  did not execute  $\ll wsh \rrbracket$  in  $t_{-1}$
- $\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}$   $\emptyset$   
 maximally unspecified state                      absurd state
- $\langle \sigma_1, \ll a \rrbracket, t_0, PST t_0 \rangle$   
 is a context where  $\ll a \rrbracket$  is in the cognitive state  $\sigma_1$ , his now is  $t_0$ , and the  
 temporal location frame is the (entire) past of  $t_0$
- $\langle \emptyset, h, t, t' \rangle$  is an impossible context, for all  $h, t, t'$  (update stops)

**Definition 6** (consistency & quandry) (cf. A.4)

- a situation  $s$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark s$ ,  
 iff  $\neg \exists E, t, t': \langle E, t, 1 \rangle \in s \wedge t \subseteq t' \wedge \langle E, t', 0 \rangle \in s$
- a plan  $\pi$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark \pi$ ,  
 iff  $\neg \exists A, t, t': \langle A, t, Y \rangle \in \pi \wedge t \subseteq t' \wedge \langle A, t', N \rangle \in \pi$
- possibility  $(s, \pi)$  is a *quandry* iff  $s$  or  $\pi$  is not consistent
- a context  $c = \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle$  is *quandry free* iff there is no quandry in  $\sigma$

**Definition 7** (expansions) (cf. A.7, 19)

- $s \oplus \langle E, t' \rangle = s \cup \{ \langle E, t', 1 \rangle \}$   
 $s \ominus \langle E, t' \rangle = s \cup \{ \langle E, t', 0 \rangle \}$
- $\pi \oplus \langle A, t' \rangle = \pi \cup \{ \langle A, t', Y \rangle \}$   
 $\pi \ominus \langle A, t' \rangle = \pi \cup \{ \langle A, t', N \rangle \}$
- $\sigma \uparrow_t \langle E, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{ (s \oplus \langle E, t' \rangle, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ s = (s|t) \ \& \ t' \leq t \ \& \ \checkmark (s \oplus \langle E, t' \rangle) \}$   
 $\sigma \downarrow_t \langle E, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{ (s \ominus \langle E, t' \rangle, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ s = (s|t) \ \& \ t' \leq t \ \& \ \checkmark (s \ominus \langle E, t' \rangle) \}$
- $\sigma \uparrow_t \langle A, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{ (s, \pi \oplus \langle A, t' \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \pi = (t|\pi) \ \& \ t < t' \}$   
 $\sigma \downarrow_t \langle A, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{ (s, \pi \ominus \langle A, t' \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \pi = (t|\pi) \ \& \ t < t' \}$

**Definition 8** (positive update  $c[\cdot]^+$ , negative update  $c[\cdot]^-$ ) (cf. A.19–21)

Let  $c = \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle$ . If quandry free,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E.} \quad c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n+1}]^+ &= \langle (\sigma \uparrow_t \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), \llbracket \alpha_{n+1} \rrbracket), t^\wedge), h, t, t^\wedge \rangle \\
 c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n+1}]^- &= \langle (\sigma \downarrow_t \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), \llbracket \alpha_{n+1} \rrbracket), t^\wedge), h, t, t^\wedge \rangle \\
 \mathbf{A.} \quad c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n!]^+ &= \langle (\sigma \uparrow_t \langle \llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), t^\wedge), h, t, t^\wedge \rangle \\
 c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n!]^- &= \langle (\sigma \downarrow_t \langle \llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), t^\wedge), h, t, t^\wedge \rangle \\
 \neg. \quad c[\neg\phi]^+ &= c[\phi]^- \\
 c[\neg\phi]^- &= c[\phi]^+ \\
 \mathbf{v.} \quad c[\phi \vee \psi]^+ &= \langle (c[\phi]^+)_1 \cup (c[\psi]^+)_1, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle \\
 c[\phi \vee \psi]^- &= c[\phi]^-[\psi]^- \\
 \mathbf{\wedge.} \quad c[\phi \wedge \psi]^+ &= c[\phi]^+[\psi]^+ \\
 c[\phi \wedge \psi]^- &= \langle (c[\phi]^-)_1 \cup (c[\psi]^-)_1, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle \\
 \mathbf{P.} \quad \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle[\mathbf{P}\phi]^+ &= \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{PST } t \rangle[\phi]^+ \\
 \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle[\mathbf{P}\phi]^- &= \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{PST } t \rangle[\phi]^- \\
 \mathbf{F.} \quad \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle[\mathbf{F}\phi]^+ &= \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{FUT } t \rangle[\phi]^+ \\
 \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle[\mathbf{F}\phi]^- &= \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{FUT } t \rangle[\phi]^- \\
 \mathbf{T.} \quad \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle[\mathbf{T}\phi]^+ &= \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \cap \text{TOT } t \rangle[\phi]^+ \\
 \langle \sigma, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle[\mathbf{T}\phi]^- &= \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \cap \text{TOT } t \rangle[\phi]^-
 \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, the output context is  $\langle \emptyset, h, t, t^\wedge \rangle$  (absurd context, update stops).

SAMPLE DERIVATIONS (see also app. C)

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

where  $\sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \}$  &  $t_0 < t_1$

(1) Mom to Ed: Set the table!

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_1 &:= c_0[\text{set!}]^+ \\
 &= \langle (\sigma_0 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle), \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle \quad \mathbf{A}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle \\
 &= \{ (s, \pi \oplus \langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ \pi = (t_0 | \pi) \ \& \ t_0 < t_1 \} \quad \sigma \uparrow \\
 &= \{ (s, \pi \cup \{ \langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle \}) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ \pi = (t_0 | \pi) \ \& \ t_0 < t_1 \} \quad \pi \oplus \\
 &= \{ (\emptyset, \{ \langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle \}) \} \quad \sigma_0, (t | \pi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Dad: Right, set the table and wash up!

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 &:= c_1[\text{set!} \wedge \text{wsh!}]^+ \\
 &= c_1[\text{set!}]^+[\text{wsh!}]^+ \quad \mathbf{\wedge} \\
 &= \langle (\sigma_1 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle), \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle \quad \text{as above}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle \\
 &= \{ (\emptyset, \{ \langle \llbracket \text{set} \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle, \langle \llbracket \text{wsh} \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle \}) \} \quad \text{as above}
 \end{aligned}$$

## OVERVIEW OF PREDICTIONS

*MB intuitions*

- Initial context from addressee's perspective

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \} \ \& \ t_0 < t_1$$

*stipulation 1:*

$$t_0 < t_1$$

- (1)** Mom to Ed: Set the table!

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= c_0[\text{set!}]^+ \\ &= \langle (\sigma_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1) \end{aligned}$$

✓, but need stipulation 1

where

$$\sigma_1 = \{ (\emptyset, \{ \langle \llbracket set \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle \}) \}$$

Dad to Ed: Right, set the table and wash up!

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &:= c_1[\text{set!} \wedge \text{wsh!}]^+ \\ &= \langle \sigma_2, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_2 = \{ (\emptyset, \{ \langle \llbracket set \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle, \langle \llbracket wsh \rrbracket, t_1, Y \rangle \}) \}$$

?, same frame ( $t_1$ ) for both?

- Initial context from addressee's perspective

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket al \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \} \ \& \ t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \in T$$

*stipulation 2:*

$$t_{-1} \dots \in T$$

- (2)** i. Today Ed helped Jo (a bit).

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket al \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \rangle [\text{TPhlp jo ed}]^+ \\ &= \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket al \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

✓, but need stipulation 2

where

$$\sigma_1 = \{ (\langle \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket hlp \rrbracket)(\llbracket jo \rrbracket), \llbracket ed \rrbracket \rangle, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle, \emptyset) \}$$

- ii. He washed up.

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &:= \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket al \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [\text{Pwsh ed}]^+ \\ &= \langle \sigma_2, \llbracket al \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

× (tmp. update)

where

$$\sigma_2 = \{ (\langle \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket hlp \rrbracket)(\llbracket jo \rrbracket), \llbracket ed \rrbracket \rangle, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle, \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket), \llbracket ed \rrbracket \rangle, \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle, \emptyset) \}$$

× (tmp. frame)

Re: *stipulation 2*

This analysis wrongly predicts # (2'), because  $\text{YST } t_0 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \notin T$ :

- (2')** i. Yesterday Ed didn't help Jo.

- ii. But today he did help her (a bit).

- Initial context from addressee's perspective

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \} \ \& \ t_0 < t_1$$

**MB intuitions**

*stipulation 1* again

- (3) i. Don't go out!

$$c_1 := c_0[\neg out!]^+ \\ = \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \{ (\emptyset, \{ \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1, N \rangle \}) \}$$

× IMP as plan upd., if  
spkr has no authority  
over addressee

- ii. If you do, I'll leave you.

$$c_2 := c_1[\mathbf{F}(out \ ed \ \rightarrow \ lv \ ed \ jo)]^+ \\ = \langle \emptyset, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

×××, crazy result

- Initial context from addressee's perspective

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \}$$

- (4) i. Ed either did or did not wash up.

$$c_1 := \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle [\mathbf{P}(wsh \ ed \ \vee \ \neg wsh \ ed)]^+ \\ = \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \{ (\langle \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \rangle, \emptyset), \\ (\langle \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 0 \rangle \rangle, \emptyset) \}$$

✓ frame for <sup>+</sup>-fact  
× frame for <sup>-</sup>-fact

- ii. If he did, pay him!

$$c_2 := \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [\mathbf{P}(wsh \ ed \ \rightarrow \ pay \ ed!)]^+ \\ = \langle \sigma_2, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle$$

××, predict (ii) ≡ (ii')

where

$$\sigma_2 = \{ (\langle \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 0 \rangle \rangle, \emptyset) \}$$

ii'. He has never  
washed up.

- iii. If he didn't, don't!

$$c_3 := \langle \sigma_2, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [\mathbf{P}(\neg wsh \ ed \ \rightarrow \ \neg pay \ ed!)]^+ \\ = \langle \emptyset, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle$$

×××, more craziness

**MB conclusion:** Time to look for another approach to imperatives.

A. UPDATE WITH PLANS ( $UP_1$ )**Definition 4.3.** (Syntax)

- i.  $UP_0 = P \cup A$ ,  
 where  $P = \{set, wsh, opn, ent, \dots\}$  is a set of atomic declaratives  
 $A = \{set!, wsh!, opn!, ent!, \dots\}$  is a set of atomic imperatives
- ii.  $UP_0 \subseteq UP_1$   
 $\neg\phi \in UP_1$  if  $\phi \in UP_1$   
 $\phi \wedge \psi, \phi \vee \psi \in UP_1$  if  $\phi, \psi \in UP_1$
- iii.  $\phi \rightarrow \psi := \neg\phi \vee (\phi \wedge \psi)$  if  $\phi$  contains only declarative atoms

**Definition 4.4** (situations & plans)

- $s \subseteq P \times \{1, 0\}$  is a *situation*  
 $S := Pow(P \times \{0, 1\})$  is the set of situations
- $\pi \subseteq A \times \{Y, N\}$  is a *plan*  
 $\Pi := Pow(A \times \{Y, N\})$  is the set of plans

**Definition 4.6** (possibilities & states)

- $(s, \pi) \in S \times \Pi$  is a *possibility*
- $\sigma \subseteq S \times \Pi$  is a (*cognitive*) *state*  
 $\Sigma := Pow(S \times \Pi)$  is the set of (*cognitive*) states

**Definition 4.7** (consistency & quandy)

- $s$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark s$ , iff  $\neg\exists p \in P: \langle p, 1 \rangle \in s \wedge \langle p, 0 \rangle \in s$
- $\pi$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark \pi$ , iff  $\neg\exists a \in A: \langle a, Y \rangle \in \pi \wedge \langle a, N \rangle \in \pi$
- A possibility  $(s, \pi)$  is a *quandy* iff  $s$  or  $\pi$  is not consistent  
 A state  $\sigma$  is *quandy free* iff there is no quandy in  $\sigma$

**Definition 4.5** (expansions). For any  $p \in P, a \in A$ :

- $s \oplus p = s \cup \{\langle p, 1 \rangle\}$   
 $s \ominus p = s \cup \{\langle p, 0 \rangle\}$
- $\pi \oplus a = \pi \cup \{\langle a, Y \rangle\}$   
 $\pi \ominus a = \pi \cup \{\langle a, N \rangle\}$

**Definition 4.8** (positive update  $\uparrow$ , negative update  $\downarrow$ ). If quandry free,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{p.} \quad \sigma \uparrow p &= \{(s \oplus p, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus p)\} \\
 \sigma \downarrow p &= \{(s \ominus p, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \checkmark(s \ominus p)\} \\
 \mathbf{a.} \quad \sigma \uparrow a &= \{(s, \pi \oplus a) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma\} \\
 \sigma \downarrow a &= \{(s, \pi \ominus a) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma\} \\
 \neg. \quad \sigma \uparrow \neg\phi &= \sigma \downarrow \phi \\
 \sigma \downarrow \neg\phi &= \sigma \uparrow \phi \\
 \mathbf{v.} \quad \sigma \uparrow (\phi \vee \psi) &= (\sigma \uparrow \phi) \cup (\sigma \uparrow \psi) \\
 \sigma \downarrow (\phi \vee \psi) &= (\sigma \downarrow \phi) \downarrow \psi \\
 \mathbf{\wedge.} \quad \sigma \uparrow (\phi \wedge \psi) &= (\sigma \uparrow \phi) \uparrow \psi \\
 \sigma \downarrow (\phi \wedge \psi) &= (\sigma \downarrow \phi) \cup (\sigma \downarrow \psi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, the output is  $\emptyset$ .

**Definition 4.9** (acceptance & entailment)

- $\phi$  is *accepted* in  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \Vdash \phi$ , iff  $\sigma \subseteq (\sigma \uparrow \phi)$
- $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \Vdash \psi$ , iff  $\forall \sigma: \sigma \uparrow \phi_1 \dots \uparrow \phi_n \Vdash \psi$

B. UPDATE WITH ACTION PLANS ( $UP_2$ )**Definition 1** (Syntax) (cf. A.10-12)

- i.  $\beta\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n+1} \in UP_0$  if  $\beta \in V^n$  &  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in N$   
 $\beta\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n! \in UP_0$  if  $\beta \in V^n$  &  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in N$
- ii.  $UP_0 \subseteq UP_1$   
 $\neg\phi \in UP_1$  if  $\phi \in UP_1$   
 $\phi \wedge \psi, \phi \vee \psi \in UP_1$  if  $\phi, \psi \in UP_1$
- ii'.  $\phi \rightarrow \psi := \neg\phi \vee (\phi \wedge \psi)$  if  $\phi$  does not contain any '!'
- iii.  $UP_1 \subseteq UP_2$   
 $\mathbf{P}\phi, \mathbf{F}\phi, \mathbf{T}\phi \in UP_2$  if  $\phi \in UP_2$

**Definition 2** ( $UP_2$  models) (cf. A.1–2)A  $UP_2$ -model is a tuple  $\langle D, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}, \text{EXE}, \langle T, <, \subseteq \rangle, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ , where

- a.  $D$  is a set of *individuals*, incl. *humans*  $H \subseteq D$   
 $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of *types of planned actions*  
 $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of *types of realized events*  
 $\text{EXE}: \mathcal{A} \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  (event type: execution of  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  by human  $h \in H$ )
- b.  $T$  is a set of *times*, including *days*  $T_0 \subseteq T$   
 $t \subseteq \text{PST } t'$  iff  $t < t'$  (past of  $t'$ )  
 $t \subseteq \text{FUT } t'$  iff  $t' < t$  (future of  $t'$ )  
 $t \subseteq \text{TOD } t'$  iff  $\exists t''(t'' \in T_0 \text{ \& } t \subseteq t'' \text{ \& } t' \subseteq t'')$  (today of  $t'$ )
- c.  $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \in D$  if  $\alpha \in N$   
 $\llbracket \beta \rrbracket: D^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  if  $\beta \in V^n$

**Definition 3** (situations & plans) (cf. A.3)

- $s \subseteq \mathcal{E} \times T \times \{1, 0\}$  is a *situation*  
 $S := \text{Pow}(\mathcal{E} \times T \times \{0, 1\})$  is the set of situations
- $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times T \times \{Y, N\}$  is a *plan*  
 $\Pi := \text{Pow}(\mathcal{A} \times T \times \{Y, N\})$  is the set of plans

**Definition 4** (temporal restriction) (cf. A.15)

- $(s|t) := \{\langle E, t', 1 \rangle \mid t' \leq t\} \cup \{\langle E, t', 0 \rangle \mid t' \leq t\}$  is situation  $s$  up to  $t$
- $(t|\pi) := \{\langle A, t', Y \rangle \mid t < t'\} \cup \{\langle A, t', N \rangle \mid t < t'\}$  is plan  $\pi$  from  $t$  onward

**Definition 5** (possibilities, states & contexts) (cf. A.13, 18)

- $(s, \pi) \in S \times \Pi$  is a *possibility*
- $\sigma \subseteq S \times \Pi$  is a (*cognitive*) *state*  
 $\Sigma := \text{Pow}(S \times \Pi)$  is the set of (*cognitive*) states
- $c \in \Sigma \times H \times T \times T$  is a *context*

**Definition 6** (consistency & quandry)

(cf. A.4)

- a situation  $s$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark s$ ,  
iff  $\neg\exists E, t, t': \langle E, t, 1 \rangle \in s \wedge t \subseteq t' \wedge \langle E, t', 0 \rangle \in s$
- a plan  $\pi$  is *consistent*,  $\checkmark \pi$ ,  
iff  $\neg\exists A, t, t': \langle A, t, Y \rangle \in \pi \wedge t \subseteq t' \wedge \langle A, t', N \rangle \in \pi$
- possibility  $(s, \pi)$  is a *quandry* iff  $s$  or  $\pi$  is not consistent
- a context  $c = \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle$  is *quandry free* iff there is no quandry in  $\sigma$

**Definition 7** (expansions)

(cf. A.7, 19)

- $s \oplus \langle E, t' \rangle = s \cup \{\langle E, t', 1 \rangle\}$       •  $\pi \oplus \langle A, t' \rangle = \pi \cup \{\langle A, t', Y \rangle\}$   
 $s \ominus \langle E, t' \rangle = s \cup \{\langle E, t', 0 \rangle\}$        $\pi \ominus \langle A, t' \rangle = \pi \cup \{\langle A, t', N \rangle\}$
- $\sigma \uparrow_t \langle E, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{(s \oplus \langle E, t' \rangle, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ s = (s|t) \ \& \ t' \leq t \ \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus \langle E, t' \rangle)\}$   
 $\sigma \downarrow_t \langle E, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{(s \ominus \langle E, t' \rangle, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ s = (s|t) \ \& \ t' \leq t \ \& \ \checkmark(s \ominus \langle E, t' \rangle)\}$
- $\sigma \uparrow_t \langle A, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{(s, \pi \oplus \langle A, t' \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \pi = (t|\pi) \ \& \ t < t'\}$   
 $\sigma \downarrow_t \langle A, t' \rangle$   
 $= \{(s, \pi \ominus \langle A, t' \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma \ \& \ \pi = (t|\pi) \ \& \ t < t'\}$

**Definition 8** (positive update  $c[\cdot]^+$ , negative update  $c[\cdot]^-$ )

(cf. A.19–21)

Let  $c = \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle$ . If quandry free,

- E.**  $c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n+1}]^+ = \langle (\sigma \uparrow_t \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), \llbracket \alpha_{n+1} \rrbracket), t' \rangle), h, t, t' \rangle$   
 $c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n+1}]^- = \langle (\sigma \downarrow_t \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), \llbracket \alpha_{n+1} \rrbracket), t' \rangle), h, t, t' \rangle$
- A.**  $c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n!]^+ = \langle (\sigma \uparrow_t \langle \llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), t' \rangle), h, t, t' \rangle$   
 $c[\beta\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n!]^- = \langle (\sigma \downarrow_t \langle \llbracket \beta \rrbracket(\llbracket \alpha_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \alpha_n \rrbracket), t' \rangle), h, t, t' \rangle$
- ¬.**  $c[\neg\phi]^+ = c[\phi]^-$   
 $c[\neg\phi]^- = c[\phi]^+$
- v.**  $c[\phi \vee \psi]^+ = \langle (c[\phi]^+)_1 \cup (c[\psi]^+)_1, h, t, t' \rangle$   
 $c[\phi \vee \psi]^- = c[\phi]^-[\psi]^-$
- ∧.**  $c[\phi \wedge \psi]^+ = c[\phi]^+[\psi]^+$   
 $c[\phi \wedge \psi]^- = \langle (c[\phi]^-)_1 \cup (c[\psi]^-)_1, h, t, t' \rangle$
- P.**  $\langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle[\mathbf{P}\phi]^+ = \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{PST } t' \rangle[\phi]^+$   
 $\langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle[\mathbf{P}\phi]^- = \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{PST } t' \rangle[\phi]^-$
- F.**  $\langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle[\mathbf{F}\phi]^+ = \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{FUT } t' \rangle[\phi]^+$   
 $\langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle[\mathbf{F}\phi]^- = \langle \sigma, h, t, \text{FUT } t' \rangle[\phi]^-$
- T.**  $\langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle[\mathbf{T}\phi]^+ = \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \cap \text{TOD } t' \rangle[\phi]^+$   
 $\langle \sigma, h, t, t' \rangle[\mathbf{T}\phi]^- = \langle \sigma, h, t, t' \cap \text{TOD } t' \rangle[\phi]^-$

Otherwise, the output context is  $\langle \emptyset, h, t, t' \rangle$  (absurd context, update stops).

C. FROM ENGLISH TO  $UP_2$ 

X to AI:

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \rangle$$

where  $\sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \}$  &  $t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 < t_0$

(2) i. Today Ed helped Jo (a bit).

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \rangle [\mathbf{TP} \textit{hlp jo ed}]^+ \\ &= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle [\mathbf{P} \textit{hlp jo ed}]^+ && \mathbf{T} \\ &= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [\textit{hlp jo ed}]^+ && \mathbf{P} \\ &= \langle (\sigma_0 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle), && \mathbf{E} \\ &\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \sigma_0 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \\ &= \{ (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid && \sigma \uparrow \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \text{ \& } s = (s|_{t_0}) \text{ \& } t_{-1} < t_0 \\ &\quad \checkmark (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle) \} \\ &= \{ (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \pi) \mid && s \oplus \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \text{ \& } s = (s|_{t_0}) \text{ \& } t_{-1} < t_0 \\ &\quad \checkmark (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \}) \} \\ &= \{ (\{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \emptyset) \} && \sigma_0 \end{aligned}$$

ii. He washed up.

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &:= \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [\mathbf{P} \textit{wsh ed}]^+ \\ &= \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [\textit{wsh ed}]^+ && \mathbf{P} \text{ (oops!)} \\ &= \langle (\sigma_1 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle), \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \mathbf{E} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &:= \sigma_1 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \\ &= \{ (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid && \sigma \uparrow \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \text{ \& } s = (s|_{t_0}) \text{ \& } \text{PST } t_0 < t_0 \\ &\quad \& \checkmark (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle) \} \\ &= \{ (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \pi) \mid && s \oplus \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \text{ \& } s = (s|_{t_0}) \\ &\quad \& \checkmark (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \}) \} \\ &= \{ (\{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{hlp} \rrbracket(\llbracket \textit{jo} \rrbracket), \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), t_{-1} \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \cap \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle, && \sigma_1 \\ &\quad \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket \textit{wsh} \rrbracket, \llbracket \textit{ed} \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \emptyset) \} \end{aligned}$$

Jo to Ed:

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

$$\text{where } \sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \} \ \& \ t_0 < t_1$$

(3)i. Don't go out!

$$c_1 := c_0[\neg out!]^+$$

$$= c_0[out!]^-$$

$$= \langle (\sigma_0 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle), \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

 $\neg$ 
 $A$ 

where

$$\sigma_1 := \sigma_0 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle$$

$$= \{ (s, \pi \ominus \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ \pi = (t_0 \mid \pi) \ \& \ t_0 < t_1 \}$$

 $\sigma \downarrow$ 

$$= \{ (s, \pi \cup \{ \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1, N \rangle \}) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ \pi = (t_0 \mid \pi) \ \& \ t_0 < t_1 \}$$

 $\pi \ominus$ 

$$= \{ (\emptyset, \{ \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1, N \rangle \}) \}$$

 $\sigma_0, (t \mid \pi)$ 

ii. If you go out, I'll leave you.

$$c_{11} := \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

$$c_2 := c_{11}[\mathbf{F}(out \ ed \ \rightarrow \ lv \ ed \ jo)]^+$$

$$= c_{11}[out \ ed \ \rightarrow \ lv \ ed \ jo]^+$$

 $\mathbf{F}$  (oops!)

$$= c_{11}[\neg out \ ed \ \vee \ (out \ ed \ \wedge \ lv \ ed \ jo)]^+$$

 $\rightarrow$ 

$$= \langle (c_{11}[\neg out \ ed]^+)_1 \cup (c_{11}[out \ ed \ \wedge \ lv \ ed \ jo]^+)_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\vee$ 

$$= \langle (c_{11}[out \ ed]^-)_1 \cup (c_{11}[out \ ed]^+[lv \ ed \ jo]^+)_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\neg, \wedge$ 

$$=: \langle (c_{11}[out \ ed]^-)_1 \cup (\langle \sigma_{12}, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle [lv \ ed \ jo]^+)_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\sigma_{12}$ 

$$=: \langle \sigma_{21} \cup \sigma_{22}, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22}$ 

where

$$\sigma_{21} = (c_{11}[out \ ed]^-)_1$$

$$= \sigma_1 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket out \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\mathbf{E}, c_{11}$ 

$$= \{ (s \ominus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket out \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid$$

$$(s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \ \& \ s = (s \mid t_0) \ \& \ \text{FUT } t_0 \leq t_0 \ \& \ \dots \}$$

 $\sigma \downarrow$  (oops!)

$$= \emptyset$$

 $\{ \dashv \}$ 

$$\sigma_{12} = (c_{11}[out \ ed]^+)_1$$

$$= \sigma_1 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket out \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\mathbf{E}, c_{11}$ 

$$= \{ (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket out \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid$$

$$(s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \ \& \ s = (s \mid t_0) \ \& \ \text{FUT } t_0 \leq t_0 \ \& \ \dots \}$$

 $\sigma \uparrow$  (oops!)

$$= \emptyset$$

 $\{ \dashv \}$ 

$$\sigma_{22} = (\langle \sigma_{12}, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle [lv \ ed \ jo]^+)_1$$

$$= \emptyset \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket lv \rrbracket(\llbracket ed \rrbracket), \llbracket jo \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

 $\mathbf{E}, \sigma_{12}$ 

$$= \emptyset$$

 $\sigma \uparrow$

Jo to Ed:

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

where  $\sigma_0 = \{\langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\} \& t_0 < t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0$

(3') i. Don't go out today!

$$c'_1 := c_0[\mathbf{T}\neg out!]^+$$

$$= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle[\neg out!]^+ \quad \mathbf{T}$$

$$= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle[out!]^- \quad \neg$$

$$= \langle (\sigma_0 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle), \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle \quad \mathbf{A}$$

where

$$\sigma'_1 := \sigma_0 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle$$

$$= \{(s, \pi \ominus \langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0 \rangle) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \& \pi = (t_0 | \pi) \& t_0 < t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0\} \quad \sigma \downarrow$$

$$= \{(s, \pi \cup \{\langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0, \mathbf{N} \rangle\}) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \& \pi = (t_0 | \pi) \& t_0 < t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0\} \quad \pi \ominus$$

$$= \{\langle \emptyset, \{\langle \llbracket out \rrbracket, t_1 \cap \text{TOD } t_0, \mathbf{N} \rangle\} \rangle\} \quad \sigma_0, (t | \pi)$$

ii. If you go out, I'll leave you.

$$c'_{11} := \langle \sigma'_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle$$

$$c'_2 := c'_{11}[\mathbf{F}(out \ ed \ \rightarrow \ lv \ ed \ jo)]^+$$

$$= c'_{11}[out \ ed \ \rightarrow \ lv \ ed \ jo]^+ \quad \mathbf{F} \text{ (oops!)}$$

$$= c'_{11}[\neg out \ ed \ \vee \ (out \ ed \ \wedge \ lv \ ed \ jo)]^+ \quad \rightarrow$$

$$= \langle (c'_{11}[\neg out \ ed]^+)_1 \cup (c'_{11}[out \ ed \ \wedge \ lv \ ed \ jo]^+)_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle \quad \vee$$

$$= \langle (c'_{11}[out \ ed]^-)_1 \cup (c'_{11}[out \ ed]^+[lv \ ed \ jo]^+)_1, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle \quad \neg, \wedge$$

$$=: \langle (c'_{11}[out \ ed]^-)_1 \cup \langle \sigma'_{12}, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle [lv \ ed \ jo]^+ \rangle_1, \quad \sigma'_{12}$$

$$=: \langle \sigma'_{21} \cup \sigma'_{22}, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle \quad \sigma'_{21}, \sigma'_{22}$$

where

$$\sigma'_{21} = (c'_{11}[out \ ed]^-)_1$$

$$= \{(s \ominus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket out \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \& s = (s | t_0) \& \text{FUT } t_0 \leq t_0 \& \dots\} \quad \mathbf{E}, c'_{11}, \sigma \downarrow \text{ (oops!)}$$

$$= \emptyset \quad \{-|- \}$$

$$\sigma'_{12} = (c'_{11}[out \ ed]^+)_1$$

$$= \{(s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket out \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \& s = (s | t_0) \& \text{FUT } t_0 \leq t_0 \& \dots\} \quad \mathbf{E}, c'_{11}, \sigma \uparrow \text{ (oops!)}$$

$$= \emptyset \quad \{-|- \}$$

$$\sigma'_{22} = \langle \sigma'_{12}, \llbracket ed \rrbracket, t_0, \text{FUT } t_0 \rangle [lv \ ed \ jo]^+ \rangle_1$$

$$= \emptyset \quad \mathbf{E}, \sigma \uparrow, \sigma'_{12}$$

X to Al:

$$c_0 = \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle$$

$$\text{where } \sigma_0 = \{ \langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle \}$$

(4) i. Ed either did or did not wash up.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, t_1 \rangle [\mathbf{P}(wsh\ ed \vee \neg wsh\ ed)]^+ \\ &= \langle \sigma_0, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle [(wsh\ ed \vee \neg wsh\ ed)]^+ && \mathbf{P} \\ &= \langle (\langle \sigma_0, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle [wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \cup (\langle \sigma_0, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle [\neg wsh\ ed]^+)_1, \\ &\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle && \vee \\ &= \langle (\langle \sigma_0, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle [wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \cup (\langle \sigma_0, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle [wsh\ ed]^-)_1, \\ &\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle && \neg \\ &= \langle (\sigma_0 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) \\ &\quad \cup (\sigma_0 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) \\ &\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle && \mathbf{E} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= (\sigma_0 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) \\ &\quad \cup (\sigma_0 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) \\ &= \{ (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid && \sigma \uparrow, \sigma \downarrow \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ s = (s|_{t_0}) \ \& \ PST\ t_0 < t_0 \\ &\quad \& \ \checkmark (s \oplus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ (s \ominus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ s = (s|_{t_0}) \ \& \ PST\ t_0 < t_0 \\ &\quad \& \ \checkmark (s \ominus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) \} \\ &= \{ (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \pi) \mid && s \oplus, s \ominus \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ s = (s|_{t_0}) \ \& \ t_{-1} < t_0 \\ &\quad \& \ \checkmark (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 1 \rangle \}) \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 0 \rangle \}, \pi) \mid \\ &\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_0 \ \& \ s = (s|_{t_0}) \ \& \ t_{-1} < t_0 \} \\ &\quad \& \ \checkmark (s \cup \{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 0 \rangle \}) \} \\ &= \{ (\{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \emptyset) \} && \sigma_0 \\ &\quad \cup \{ (\{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 0 \rangle \}, \emptyset) \} \\ &= \{ (\{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 1 \rangle \}, \emptyset), \\ &\quad (\{ \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 0 \rangle \}, \emptyset) \} && \cup \end{aligned}$$

ii. If he did, pay him!

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{11} &:= \langle \sigma_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \\
c_2 &:= c_1[\mathbf{P}(wsh\ ed \rightarrow pay\ ed!)]^+ \\
&= c_{11}[wsh\ ed \rightarrow pay\ ed!]^+ && \mathbf{P} \\
&= c_{11}[\neg wsh\ ed \vee (wsh\ ed \wedge pay\ ed!)]^+ && \rightarrow \\
&= \langle (c_{11}[\neg wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \cup (c_{11}[wsh\ ed \wedge pay\ ed!]^+)_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \vee \\
&= \langle (c_{11}[wsh\ ed]^-)_1 \cup (c_{11}[wsh\ ed]^+[pay\ ed!]^+)_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \neg, \wedge \\
&=: \langle (c_{11}[wsh\ ed]^-)_1 \cup (\langle \sigma'_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [pay\ ed!]^+)_1, && \sigma'_1 \\
&\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle \\
&=: \langle \sigma_2 \cup \sigma'_2, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \sigma_2, \sigma'_2 \\
&= \langle \sigma_2, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \text{see below}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2 &:= (c_{11}[wsh\ ed]^-)_1 \\
&= \sigma_1 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \mathbf{E}, c_{11} \\
&= \{(s \ominus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle, \pi) \mid \\
&\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_1 \ \& \ s = (s|_{t_0}) \ \& \ \text{PST } t_0 \leq t_0 \\
&\quad \ \& \ \vee (s \ominus \langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle)\} && \sigma \downarrow \\
&= \{(\langle \text{EXE}(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0, 0 \rangle), \emptyset\} && s \ominus, \sigma_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma'_1 := (c_{11}[wsh\ ed]^+)_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma'_2 &:= (\langle \sigma'_1, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, \text{PST } t_0 \rangle [pay\ ed!]^+)_1 \\
&= \sigma'_1 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket pay \rrbracket(\llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle && \mathbf{A} \\
&= \{(s, \pi \oplus \langle \llbracket pay \rrbracket(\llbracket ed \rrbracket), \text{PST } t_0 \rangle) \mid && \sigma \uparrow \\
&\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma'_1 \ \& \ \pi = (t_0|\pi) \ \& \ t_0 < \text{PST } t_0\} \\
&= \emptyset && \text{PST}
\end{aligned}$$

iii. If he didn't, don't!

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3 &:= c_2[\mathbf{P}(\neg wsh\ ed \rightarrow \neg pay\ ed!)]^+ \\
&= c_2[\neg wsh\ ed \rightarrow \neg pay\ ed!]^+ && \mathbf{P} \\
&= c_2[\neg\neg wsh\ ed \vee (\neg wsh\ ed \wedge \neg pay\ ed!)]^+ && \rightarrow \\
&= \langle (c_2[\neg\neg wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \cup (c_2[\neg wsh\ ed \wedge \neg pay\ ed!]^+)_1, && \vee \\
&\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle (c_2[\neg wsh\ ed]^-)_1 \cup (c_2[\neg wsh\ ed]^+[\neg pay\ ed!]^+)_1, && \neg, \wedge \\
&\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle \\
&= \langle (c_2[wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \cup (c_2[wsh\ ed]^-[\neg pay\ ed!]^-)_1, && \neg \\
&\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle \\
&=: \langle (c_2[wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \cup (\langle \sigma'_2, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle[\neg pay\ ed!]^-)_1, && \sigma'_2 \\
&\quad \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle \\
&=: \langle \sigma_3 \cup \sigma'_3, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle && \sigma_3, \sigma'_3 \\
&= \langle \emptyset, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle && \text{see below}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_3 &:= (c_2[wsh\ ed]^+)_1 \\
&= \sigma_2 \uparrow_{t_0} \langle EXE(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle && \mathbf{E}, c_2 \\
&= \{(s \oplus \langle EXE(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle, \pi) | \\
&\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_2 \ \& \ s = (s|t_0) \ \& \ PST\ t_0 \leq t_0 \\
&\quad \& \ \checkmark(s \oplus \langle EXE(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle)\} && \sigma \uparrow \\
&= \{(s \cup \{\langle EXE(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 1 \rangle\}, \pi) | \\
&\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma_2 \ \& \ s = (s|t_0) \ \& \ PST\ t_0 \leq t_0 \\
&\quad \& \ \checkmark(s \cup \{\langle EXE(\llbracket wsh \rrbracket, \llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0, 1 \rangle\})\} && s \oplus \\
&= \emptyset && \sigma_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma'_2 := (c_2[wsh\ ed]^-)_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma'_3 &:= (\langle \sigma'_2, \llbracket aI \rrbracket, t_0, PST\ t_0 \rangle[\neg pay\ ed!]^-)_1 \\
&= \sigma'_2 \downarrow_{t_0} \langle \llbracket pay \rrbracket(\llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle && \mathbf{A} \\
&= \{(s, \pi \ominus \langle \llbracket pay \rrbracket(\llbracket ed \rrbracket), PST\ t_0 \rangle) | && \sigma \downarrow \\
&\quad (s, \pi) \in \sigma'_2 \ \& \ \pi = (t_0|\pi) \ \& \ t_0 < PST\ t_0\} \\
&= \emptyset && PST
\end{aligned}$$





FACT 1b.s[·]

$$\begin{aligned}
& s[\exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x))]^{M,g} \\
& = \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g} \quad \text{if } \exists s': s = (\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g})s' \\
& \quad \emptyset \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF

$$\begin{aligned}
& s[\exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x))]^{M,g} \\
& = \{w \mid M, w, s \models_g \exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x))\} & s[\cdot] \\
& = \{w \mid \exists s': s = (\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g})s' \ \& \ w \in \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g}\} & \text{F1b.} \models \\
& = \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g} \quad \text{if } \exists s': s = (\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g})s' & \{-|\-\} \\
& \quad \emptyset \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

FACT 1b.[·]

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x)) \rrbracket^{M,g} \\
& = \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g}
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x)) \rrbracket^{M,g} \\
& = \{w \mid \exists s: M, w, s \models_g \exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x))\} & [\cdot] \\
& = \{w \mid \exists s, s': s = (\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g})s' \ \& \ w \in \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g}\} & \text{F1b.} \models \\
& = \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g} & \{-|\-\}
\end{aligned}$$

FACT 1b.ALTT

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ALT}(\exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x)))_{M,g} \\
& = \{\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g}\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1. \text{ALT}(\exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x)))_{M,g} \\
& 2. \{\{w \mid M, w, s \models_g \exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x))\} \mid s \in P^1\} \setminus \{\emptyset\} & \text{D4, D1} \\
& 3. \{s[\exists p(p \wedge p = \exists x A(x))]^{M,g} \mid s \in P^1\} \setminus \{\emptyset\} & s[\cdot] \\
& 4. \{\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g}\} \setminus \{\emptyset\} & \text{F1b.s}[\cdot], [\cdot]
\end{aligned}$$

(1c)  $\exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x)))$

FACT 1c. $\models$

$M, w, s \models_g \exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x)))$

iff  $\exists d \exists s' : s = (\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]})s' \ \& \ w \in \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]}$

PROOF. (1) iff (5)

1.  $M, w, s \models_g \exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x)))$
2.  $\exists q, s' : s = qs'$  D2. $\exists p$   
 $\ \& \ M, w, s' \models_{g[p/q]} p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x))$
3.  $\exists q, s' : s = qs'$  D2. $\wedge$ , D1  
 $\ \& \ \exists r' : s' = r'$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ M, w, r' \models_{g[p/q]} p$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ M, w, r' \models_{g[p/q]} \exists x(p = A(x))$
4.  $\exists q, s' : s = qs'$   $s' = r'$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ M, w, s' \models_{g[p/q]} p$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ M, w, s' \models_{g[p/q]} \exists x(p = A(x))$
5.  $\exists q, s' : s = qs'$  D2.p,  $\exists$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ w \in g[p/q](p)$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ \exists d \in D : M, w, s' \models_{g[p/q][x/d]} p = A(x)$
6.  $\exists q, s' : s = qs'$   $g[$ , D2. $=$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ w \in q$  D1  
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ \exists d \in D \forall v : M, v, s' \models_{g[p/q][x/d]} p$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \text{iff } M, v, s' \models_{g[p/q][x/d]} A(x)$
7.  $\exists q, s' : s = qs'$  D2.p, R,  
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ w \in q$   $g[$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \& \ \exists d \in D \forall v : v \in q \text{ iff } d \in \llbracket A \rrbracket(v)$
8.  $\exists d, q, s' : s = qs' \ \& \ w \in q \ \& \ q = \{v \mid d \in \llbracket A \rrbracket(v)\}$  rearrange
9.  $\exists d, q, s' : s = qs' \ \& \ w \in q \ \& \ q = \llbracket A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]}$  F1a. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$
10.  $\exists d, s' : s = (\llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]})s' \ \& \ w \in \llbracket A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]}$  elimin.  $q$

FACT 1c.s $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$

$s \llbracket \exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x))) \rrbracket^{M, g}$

$= \{q\}$

$\emptyset$

if  $\exists d, s' : s = qs' \ \& \ q = \llbracket A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]}$

if  $\neg \exists d, s' : s = (\llbracket A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g[x/d]})s'$

FACT 1b. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$

$\llbracket \exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x))) \rrbracket^{M, g}$

$= \llbracket \exists x A(x) \rrbracket^{M, g}$

FACT 1b.ALT

$$\text{ALT}(\exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x))))_{M,g}$$

$$= \{ \llbracket A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g[x/d]} \mid d \in D \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

PROOF

1.  $\text{ALT}(\exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x))))_{M,g}$
2.  $\{ \{w \mid M, w, s \models_g \exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x)))\} \mid s \in P^1 \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  D4, D1
3.  $\{s \llbracket \exists p(p \wedge \exists x(p = A(x))) \rrbracket^{M,g} \mid s \in P^1 \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$   $s[\cdot]$
4.  $\{ \llbracket A(x) \rrbracket^{M,g[x/d]} \mid d \in D \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  F1c. $s[\cdot]$ ,  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$

• DISJUNCTION

(2) Michael went to the beach or he went to the cinema.

- (2a)  $b \vee c$  (2b)  $\exists p(p \wedge p = b \vee c)$   
(2c)  $\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

FACT 2a. $\models$ 

$$M, w, s \models_g b \vee c$$

$$\text{iff } w \in \llbracket b \rrbracket^M \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket^M$$

PROOF. (1) iff (4)

1.  $M, w, s \models_g b \vee c$
2.  $M, w, s \models_g b$  or  $M, w, s \models_g c$  D2.v, D1
3.  $w \in \llbracket b \rrbracket$  or  $w \in \llbracket c \rrbracket$  D2.R
4.  $w \in \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket$  U

FACT 2a. $s[\cdot]$ 

$$s \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M,g}$$

$$= \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket$$

FACT 2a. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ 

$$\llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M,g}$$

$$= \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket$$

FACT 2a.ALT

$$\text{ALT}(b \vee c)_{M,g}$$

$$= \{ \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M,g} \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

PROOF

1.  $\text{ALT}(b \vee c)_{M,g}$
2.  $\{ \{w \mid M, w, s \models_g b \vee c\} \mid s \in P^0 \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  D4, D1
4.  $\{ \{w \mid w \in \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket \} \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  F2a. $\models$
5.  $\{ \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M,g} \} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  F2a. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$



## • NEGATION

(3) Michael didn't go either to the beach or to the cinema.

(3c)  $\neg\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$ FACT 3c. $\models$  $M, w, s \models_g \neg\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$ iff  $w \notin \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket$ 

PROOF. (1) iff (12)

1.  $M, w, s \models_g \neg\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$
2.  $\neg\exists q \in P^1: M, w, qs \models_g \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$  D2. $\neg$ , D1
3.  $\neg\exists q: M, w, s \models_{g[p/q]} p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)$  D2. $\exists$
4.  $\neg\exists q: M, w, s \models_{g[p/q]} p$  D2. $\wedge$ , D1  
 $\quad \& M, w, s \models_{g[p/q]} p = b \vee p = c$
5.  $\neg\exists q: w \in q$  D2. $p$ , g[  
 $\quad \& (M, w, s \models_{g[p/q]} p = b \text{ or } M, w, s \models_{g[p/q]} p = c)$  D2. $\vee$ , D1
6.  $\neg\exists q: w \in q$  D2. $=$ ,  $\{-|- \}$   
 $\quad \& \{v \mid M, v, s \models_{g[p/q]} p\} = \{v \mid M, v, s \models b\}$   
 $\quad \text{or } \{v \mid M, v, s \models_{g[p/q]} p\} = \{v \mid M, v, s \models c\}$
7.  $\neg\exists q: w \in q$  D2. $p$ , R  
 $\quad \& \{v \mid v \in q\} = \{v \mid v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket\} \text{ or } \{v \mid v \in q\} = \{v \mid v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket\}$
8.  $\neg\exists q: w \in q \& (q = \llbracket b \rrbracket \text{ or } q = \llbracket c \rrbracket)$   $\{-|- \}$
9.  $\neg\exists q: w \in q \& q \in \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}$  simplify
10.  $w \notin \llbracket b \rrbracket \& w \notin \llbracket c \rrbracket$  simplify
11.  $w \notin \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket$  simplify
12.  $w \notin \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M, g}$  F2a. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$

FACT 3c.(s) $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
& s \llbracket \neg\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)) \rrbracket^{M, g} \\
& = \llbracket \neg\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)) \rrbracket^{M, g} \\
& = \mathcal{W} \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M, g}
\end{aligned}$$

FACT 3c.ALT.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ALT}(\neg\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)))_{M, g} \\
& = \{\mathcal{W} \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket^{M, g}\}
\end{aligned}$$

• MODALS (free choice readings)

(4) You may go to the beach or go to the cinema.

(4c) MAY  $\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

FACT 4c. $\models$

$M, w, s \models_g \text{MAY } \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

iff  $(\exists v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket : wRv) \ \& \ (\exists v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket : wRv)$

PROOF. (1) iff (4)

1.  $M, w, s \models_g \text{MAY } \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

2.  $\forall q \in \text{ALT}(\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)))_{M,g} \exists v \in q : wRv$

D5.MAY

3.  $\forall q \in \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \exists v \in q : wRv$

F2c.ALT

4.  $(\exists v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket : wRv) \ \& \ (\exists v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket : wRv)$

simplify

FACT 4c. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$

$\llbracket \text{MAY } \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)) \rrbracket^{M,g}$

=  $\{w \mid (\exists v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket : wRv) \ \& \ (\exists v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket : wRv)\}$

Compare:

(4d)  $\neg \text{MUST } \neg \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

FACT 4d. $\models$

$M, w, s \models_g \neg \text{MUST } \neg \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

iff  $\exists v : wRv \ \& \ v \in \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket$

(5) You must go to the beach or go to the cinema.

(5c) MUST  $\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

FACT 5c. $\models$

$M, w, s \models_g \text{MUST } \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

iff  $(\forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket) \ \text{or} \ (\forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket)$

PROOF. (1) iff (4)

1.  $M, w, s \models_g \text{MUST } \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$

2.  $\exists q \in \text{ALT}(\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)))_{M,g} \forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in q$

D5.MUST

3.  $\exists q \in \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in q$

F2c.ALT

4.  $(\forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket) \ \text{or} \ (\forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket)$

simplify

FACT 5c. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$

$\llbracket \text{MUST } \exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c)) \rrbracket^{M,g}$

=  $\{w \mid (\forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in \llbracket b \rrbracket) \ \text{or} \ (\forall v : wRv \rightarrow v \in \llbracket c \rrbracket)\}$

## Free Choice Permission (Kamp 1973)

---

### 1 INTRODUCTION

- ENTAILMENT PUZZLE

In context A disjunction *entails* (permits more than) either disjunct, e.g.

A: Father to Michael:

- (1) You may go to the beach or go to the cinema.
- (2) You may go to the beach.

But in context B disjunction is *entailed by* (asserts less than) either disjunct

B: Michael's little sister in response to his question: 'What did Dad say?'

- (1) You may go to the beach or go to the cinema (I am not sure which).
- (2) You may go to the beach.

- Kamp 1973, sec. 2–3: PERMISSION  $\not\subseteq$  ASSERTION

Permission (salient reading in context A) is not assertion (salient in ctx B). Any theory that treats permission as assertion makes wrong predictions about entailment (e.g. extending (2)  $\models$  (1) in context B to context A).

- Kamp 1973, sec. 4–5: TOWARD A THEORY OF PERMISSION

**Basic idea:** Assertion narrows down the class of worlds we may be in (*common ground*), whereas permission lifts a ban and thereby expands the class of compliant worlds (*compliance sphere*). A disjunctive assertion narrows the input common ground less than either of the disjuncts. In contrast, a disjunctive (free choice) permission lifts more input bans and thereby expands the compliance sphere more than either of the disjuncts. In both cases the disjunction operator,  $\vee$ , is interpreted as set-theoretic union.

### 2 PERMISSION $\not\subseteq$ ASSERTION

- “one principle [...] which appears to be sound on any plausible understanding of *ought* or *obligatory* [is] the inference rule

$$(5) \quad \underline{\phi \leftrightarrow \psi}$$

$$O\phi \leftrightarrow O\psi$$

[...] In addition I adopt for [the intended interpretation]...axiom

$$(6) \quad O(p \wedge q) \rightarrow Op$$

which, ostensibly, says that if the conjunction of  $p$  and  $q$  is obligatory then so is  $p$  by itself.” (Kamp 1973:59)

The following logic implements these minimal assumptions. To facilitate comparison with Aloni 2007, I write **must** and **may** for Kamp's *O* and *P*.

• DEONTIC LOGIC ( $DL_1$ )

~ Kamp's

**D1** (syntax)

0.  $Con_0 = \{a, b, \dots\}$   
 $Con_1 = \{\mathbf{must}, \mathbf{may}, \dots\}$
- i.  $Con_0 \subseteq Fml$
- ii.  $\neg\phi \in Fml$  if  $\phi \in Fml$
- iii.  $\phi \wedge \psi \in Fml$  if  $\phi, \psi \in Fml$
- iv.  $\phi \vee \psi \in Fml$  if  $\phi, \psi \in Fml$
- v.  $\mu\phi \in Fml$  if  $\mu \in Con_1$  &  $\phi \in Fml$

**D2** (models)

A  $DL_1$ -model is a pair  $M = \langle W, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ , where

- i.  $W$  is a non-empty set (of possible worlds)
- ii.  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  is an interpretation function such that:
  - $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket \in Pow(W)$  if  $\phi \in Con_0$
  - $\llbracket \mu \rrbracket \in Pow(W)^{Pow(W)}$  if  $\mu \in Con_1$  (5)
  - $\llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket(q \cap r) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket(q)$  if  $q, r \subseteq W$  (6)
  - $\llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket(q) = W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket(W \setminus q)$  if  $q \subseteq W$  (7)

**D3** (semantics)

- ii.  $\llbracket \neg\phi \rrbracket = W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$
- iii.  $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- iv.  $\llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- v.  $\llbracket \mu\phi \rrbracket = \llbracket \mu \rrbracket(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$

**D4** (truth and entailment)

- i.  $\models_w \phi$  iff  $w \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$
- ii.  $\phi \models \psi$  iff for every  $DL_1$ -model  $\langle W, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ ,  $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$

Kamp observes that  $DL_1$  and any other implementation of (5)–(7) predicts

- WRONG ENTAILMENT FOR PERMISSION

**F.**  $\models$  (entailment fact)

**may**  $\phi \models \mathbf{may} (\phi \vee \psi)$

PROOF.

Let  $M = \langle W, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$  be any  $DL_1$ -model. Then  $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket, \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \subseteq W$  for all  $\phi, \psi \in Fml$  (by D2 and D3). Therefore:

$$1. \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket ((W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \cap (W \setminus \llbracket \psi \rrbracket)) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \quad \text{D2.ii.must}$$

Hence

$$2. W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \subseteq W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket ((W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \cap (W \setminus \llbracket \psi \rrbracket)) \quad \setminus, \subseteq^3$$

$$3. W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \subseteq W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus (\llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \psi \rrbracket)) \quad \setminus, \cap, \cup^4$$

$$4. W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \subseteq W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus \llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket) \quad \text{D3.v}$$

$$5. \llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket (\llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket (\llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket) \quad \text{D2.ii.may}$$

$$6. \llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket \phi \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket (\phi \vee \psi) \quad \text{D3.u}$$

Since this holds to any  $DL_1$ -model, D4 yields: **may**  $\phi \models \mathbf{may} (\phi \vee \psi)$ .

- Cf. Aloni (2007): PERMISSION  $\subseteq$  ASSERTION, BUT NO **F.**  $\models$

4 reasons:

– Kamp ‘73 assumes *surface-faithful* translation, Aloni ‘07 does not, e.g.

(1) You may go to the beach or go to the cinema.

(1<sub>K</sub>) **may**( $b \vee c$ ) Kamp 1973

(1<sub>A</sub>) MAY  $\exists p(p \wedge (p = b \vee p = c))$  Aloni 2007

– Kamp ‘73 and Aloni ‘07 disagree on the key assumptions: (5) modals denote propositional functions; (6) if  $(\phi \wedge \psi)$  is a deontic necessity, then so is  $\psi$ ; and (7) deontic possibility is the dual of deontic necessity:

Kamp	Aloni
(5) $\checkmark$ : $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket \phi = \llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket \psi$	$\times$ : $\llbracket \text{MAY} \rrbracket \phi$ funct. of $\text{ALT}(\phi)_{M,g}$
(6) $\checkmark$ : $\mathbf{must} (\phi \wedge \psi) \models \mathbf{must} \psi$	$\times$ : $\text{MUST} (\phi \wedge \psi) \not\models \text{MUST} \psi$
(7) $\checkmark$ : $\llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket \phi = \llbracket \neg \mathbf{must} \neg \psi \rrbracket$	$\times$ : $\llbracket \text{MAY} \rrbracket \phi \neq \llbracket \neg \text{MUST} \neg \phi \rrbracket$

- TRUTH VALUE PROBLEM

Assimilating permission to assertion also predicts that permissions (e.g. (1) in context A) are true or false, like assertions ((1) in context B). According to my (MB) intuitions, permissions have no truth value (like directives).

<sup>3</sup>  $A, B \subseteq U \ \& \ A \subseteq B \rightarrow U \setminus B \subseteq U \setminus A$

<sup>4</sup>  $A, B \subseteq U \rightarrow (U \setminus A) \cap (U \setminus B) = U \setminus (A \cup B)$

3 DEONTIC LOGIC WITH PERMISSION ( $DL_2$ )**D1** (syntax)

0.  $Con_0 = \{a, b, \dots\}$      $Con_1 = \{\mathbf{must}, \mathbf{may}, \dots\}$      $Con_2 = \{\mathbf{may}_2, \dots\}$   
 i.  $Con_0 \subseteq Fml$   
 ii.  $\neg\phi \in Fml$     if  $\phi \in Fml$   
 iii.  $\phi \wedge \psi \in Fml$  if  $\phi, \psi \in Fml$   
 iv.  $\phi \vee \psi \in Fml$  if  $\phi, \psi \in Fml$   
 v.  $\mu\phi \in Fml$     if  $\mu \in Con_1 \cup Con_2$  &  $\phi \in Fml$

**D2** (models)

A  $DL_2$ -model is a triple  $M = \langle W, B, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ , where

- i.  $W$  is a non-empty set (of possible worlds)  
 ii.  $\emptyset \subset B \subseteq Pow(W)$  is a set (of standing bans) s.t.  $p, q \in B \rightarrow p \not\subseteq q$ . For any  $p \subseteq W$ ,  $p_B := \{q \in B \mid q \subseteq p\}$  (bans in  $B$  that can be lifted by  $p$ ).  
 iii.  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  is an interpretation function such that
- $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket \in Pow(W)$     if  $\phi \in Con_0$
  - $\llbracket \mu \rrbracket \in Pow(W)^{Pow(W)}$     if  $\mu \in Con_1 \cup Con_2$     (5)
  - $\llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket(p \cap q) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket(p)$  if  $p, q \subseteq W$     (6)
  - $\llbracket \mathbf{may} \rrbracket(p) = W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket(W \setminus p)$  if  $p \subseteq W$     (7)
  - $\llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 \rrbracket(p) = W \setminus \cup(B \setminus p_B)$     if  $p \subseteq W$  &  $p_B \neq \emptyset$   
     $= \emptyset$     if  $p \subseteq W$  &  $p_B = \emptyset$

**D3** (proposition expressed)

- ii.  $\llbracket \neg\phi \rrbracket = W \setminus \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$   
 iii.  $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$   
 iv.  $\llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \cup \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$   
 v.  $\llbracket \mu\phi \rrbracket = \llbracket \mu \rrbracket(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$

**D4** (update semantics). For any  $p, q \in Pow(W) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ ,

- i.  $\langle p, q \rangle[\phi] = \langle p \cap \llbracket \phi \rrbracket, q \rangle$   
 ii.  $\langle p, q \rangle[\neg\phi] = \langle p \cap \llbracket \neg\phi \rrbracket, q \rangle$   
 iii.  $\langle p, q \rangle[\phi \wedge \psi] = \langle p, q \rangle[\phi][\psi]$   
 iv.  $\langle p, q \rangle[\phi \vee \psi] = \langle p \cap \llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket, q \rangle$   
 v.  $\langle p, q \rangle[\mu\phi] = \langle p \cap \llbracket \mu\phi \rrbracket, q \rangle$     if  $\mu \in Con_1$   
     $= \langle p, q \cup \llbracket \mu\phi \rrbracket \rangle$     if  $\mu \in Con_2$

**D5** (informativity, empowerment, and truth)

- $\phi$  is *informative* iff for some  $DL_2$ -model  $M$ ,  $p$  &  $q$ :  $\emptyset \subset (\langle p, q \rangle[\phi])_1 \subset p$
- $\phi$  is *empowering* iff for some  $DL_2$ -model  $M$ ,  $p$  &  $q$ :  $\emptyset \subset p \subset (\langle p, q \rangle[\phi])_2$
- given  $p$ ,  $\phi$  is *true* in  $w$ ,  $p \models_w \phi$ , iff  $\phi$  is informative &  $\exists q: w \in (\langle p, q \rangle[\phi])_1$

**D6** (entailment)( $\models_B$  for Kamp's *p-entails*)

- $\phi \models \psi$  iff  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are informative and  
for all  $M = \langle W, B, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$  &  $p, q \in Pow(W) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ :  $\langle \langle p, q \rangle [\phi] \rangle_1 \subseteq \langle \langle p, q \rangle [\psi] \rangle_1$
- $\phi \models_B \psi$  iff  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are empowering and  
for all  $M = \langle W, B, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$  &  $p, q \in Pow(W) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ :  $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_B \neq \emptyset$  &  $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_B \neq \emptyset \rightarrow$   
 $\langle \langle p, q \rangle [\psi] \rangle_2 \subseteq \langle \langle p, q \rangle [\phi] \rangle_2$

## • SOLUTION TO ENTAILMENT PUZZLE

In context A disjunction (1) *B-entails* (permits more/is more empowering than) disjunct (2):

A. Father to Michael:

(1) You may go to the beach or go to the cinema.

(1')  $\mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c)$ 

(2) You may go to the beach.

(2')  $\mathbf{may}_2 b$ *DL*<sub>2</sub>-facts:**F1'**. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  If  $\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket \in B$ , then  $W \setminus \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c) \rrbracket$ **F2'**. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  If  $\llbracket b \rrbracket \in B$ , then  $\llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 b \rrbracket = W \setminus \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket\})$ **F'**. $\subseteq$  If  $\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket \in B$ , then  $\llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 b \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 b \vee c \rrbracket$ **F.** $\models_B$  (*DL*<sub>2</sub>-fact ?)  $\mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c) \models_B \mathbf{may}_2 b$ 

In context B disjunction (1) is *entailed* by (asserts less/is less informative than) disjunct (2)

B. Michael's little sister in response to his question: 'What did Dad say?'

(1) You may go to the beach or go to the cinema (I am not sure which).

(1'')  $\mathbf{may} (b \vee c)$ 

(2) You may go to the beach.

(2'')  $\mathbf{may} b$ *DL*<sub>2</sub>-facts = *DL*<sub>1</sub>-facts:**F1''**. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$   $\llbracket \mathbf{may} (b \vee c) \rrbracket = W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus (\llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket))$ **F2''**. $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$   $\llbracket \mathbf{may} b \rrbracket = W \setminus \llbracket \mathbf{must} \rrbracket (W \setminus \llbracket b \rrbracket)$ **F''**. $\subseteq$   $\llbracket \mathbf{may} b \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may} (b \vee c) \rrbracket$ **F.** $\models$   $\mathbf{may} b \models \mathbf{may} (b \vee c)$  (cf. proof in sec. 2)

## • SAMPLE PROOFS

**F1'**. Given  $\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket \in B$ ,

$$\mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket a \rrbracket, \llbracket b \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c) \rrbracket$$

PROOF. Given  $\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket \in B$ , we infer (1):

$$1. \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \subseteq \{q \in B \mid q \subseteq \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \subseteq Pow(W) \quad \subseteq, D2.iii$$

Hence:

$$2. B \setminus \{q \in B \mid q \subseteq \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \subseteq B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \subseteq Pow(W) \quad \setminus, \subseteq$$

$$3. \cup(B \setminus \{q \in B \mid q \subseteq \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \cup(B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq W \quad \cup, \subseteq$$

$$4. \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{q \in B \mid q \subseteq \llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \quad \setminus, \subseteq$$

$$5. \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus (\llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket)_B) \quad D2.ii.p_B$$

$$6. \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 \rrbracket (\llbracket b \rrbracket \cup \llbracket c \rrbracket) \quad D2.iii.\mathbf{may}_2$$

$$7. \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 \rrbracket (\llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket) \quad D3.v$$

$$8. \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c) \rrbracket \quad D3.\mu$$

**F'.**  $\subseteq$ . Given  $\{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} = \llbracket b \vee c \rrbracket_B$ ,

$$\llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 b \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c) \rrbracket$$

PROOF. We first note that (1) implies (4):

$$1. \{\llbracket b \rrbracket\} \subseteq \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \subseteq Pow(W) \quad \subseteq, D2.iii$$

$$2. B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\} \subseteq B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket\} \subseteq Pow(W) \quad \setminus, \subseteq$$

$$3. \cup(B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \cup(B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket\}) \subseteq W \quad \cup, \subseteq$$

$$4. \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket\}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cup (B \setminus \{\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket\}) \quad \setminus, \subseteq$$

Hence, given  $\llbracket b \rrbracket, \llbracket c \rrbracket \in B$ ,

$$5. \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 b \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \mathbf{may}_2 (b \vee c) \rrbracket \quad F1', F2'$$