

DYNAMIC PREDICATE LOGIC

1. ANAPHORIC PRONOUNS

- 1st try: Free variables in PL (Predicate Logic)

(1) *Jim*₁ came in. *He*₁ sat down. (antecedent *Jim*₁ ... anaphoric *he*₁)

$$\models_{M,g} cm \ \iota x(x = z_1 \wedge z_1 = jim) \wedge sit \ z_1$$

iff $g(z_1) \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket \ \& \ g(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ g(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket$

oops!

predict: order of antecedent & anaphor immaterial, e.g. (1) = (2)

intuition: antecedent must precede the anaphor, e.g. (1) \neq (2)

(2) *He*₁ sat down. *Jim*₁ came in. (anaphoric *he*₁ ... antecedent *Jim*₁)

$$\models_{M,g} sit \ z_1 \wedge cm \ \iota x(x = z_1 \wedge z_1 = jim)$$

iff $g(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket \ \& \ g(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ g(z_1) \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket$

- 2nd try: Free variables in DPL (Dynamic Predicate Logic, G&S 1991)

basic idea: antecedent *updates* the context for interpreting the anaphor (see steps (a)–(c))

- antecedent [*np*^{*n*} ...] updates the *input* value of *z_n* to an *output* value that satisfies the antec. ('*g*[*u*₁...*u*_{*n*}]*h*' abbreviates '(output) *h* agrees with (input) *g* on all var's other than *u*₁...*u*_{*n*}')

(1¹) *Jim*¹ came in.

$$\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1]$$

iff $\exists h: g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1]]h$

iff $\exists h: g[z_1]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ h(z_1) \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket$

iff $\llbracket jim \rrbracket \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket$

DPL: $\models_{M,g}$

DPL: \exists, \wedge, \dots

simplify

- an anaphoric pronoun, *pn_n*, refers to the *input* value of *z_n*.

(1²) *He*₁ sat down.

$$\models_{M,g} sit \ z_1$$

iff $\exists h: g[\llbracket sit \ z_1 \rrbracket]h$

iff $\exists h: g = h \ \& \ h(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket$

iff $g(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket$

DPL: $\models_{M,g}$

DPL: *R*

simplify

- the *output* context of sentence 1 serves as the *input* for sentence 2.

(1) *Jim*¹ came in. *He*₁ sat down.

$$\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1] \wedge sit \ z_1$$

iff $\exists h: g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1] \wedge sit \ z_1]h$

iff $\exists h \exists k(g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1]]k \ \& \ k[\llbracket sit \ z_1 \rrbracket]h)$

iff $\exists h \exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ k(z_1) \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket \ \& \ k = h \ \& \ h(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket)$

iff $\llbracket jim \rrbracket \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket \ \& \ \llbracket jim \rrbracket \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket$

DPL: $\models_{M,g}$

sem: \wedge

(1¹), (1²)

simplify

(2) *He*₁ sat down. *Jim*¹ came in.

$$\models_{M,g} sit \ z_1 \wedge \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1]$$

iff $\exists h: g[\llbracket sit \ z_1 \wedge \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1] \rrbracket]h$

iff $\exists h \exists k(g[\llbracket sit \ z_1 \rrbracket]k \ \wedge \ k[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge cm \ z_1]]h)$

iff $\exists h \exists k(g = k \ \& \ k(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket \ \wedge \ k[z_1]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ h(z_1) \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket)$

iff $g(z_1) \in \llbracket sit \rrbracket \ \& \ \llbracket jim \rrbracket \in \llbracket cm \rrbracket$

DPL: $\models_{M,g}$

sem: \wedge

(1¹), (1²)

simplify

2. DYNAMIC PREDICATE LOGIC (DPL)

D1.1 (DPL basic expressions)

- **Var** = $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ (entity) variables
- **Con** = $\{jim, ann, \dots\}$ (entity) constants
- **Prd¹** = $\{man, wm, cm, sit, \dots\}$ 1-place predicates
- **Prd²** = $\{hsb, wife, see, spk, \dots\}$ 2-place predicates
- **Prd³** = $\{gvt, \dots\}$ 3-place predicates

D1.2 (DPL syntax)

0. $t \in \mathbf{Trm}$ if $t \in \mathbf{Con} \cup \mathbf{Var}$
- i. $Rt_1 \dots t_n \in \mathbf{For}$ if $R \in \mathbf{Prd}^n$ & $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbf{Trm}$
- ii. $t_1 = t_2 \in \mathbf{For}$ if $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{Trm}$
- iii. $\neg\phi \in \mathbf{For}$ if $\phi \in \mathbf{For}$
- iv. $[\phi \wedge \psi] \in \mathbf{For}$ if $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{For}$
- v. $\exists u\phi \in \mathbf{For}$ if $u \in \mathbf{Var}$ & $\phi \in \mathbf{For}$

D2.1 (DPL models and assignments)

- A DPL *model* is a pair $M = \langle D, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ such that (i) D is a non-empty set (of M -entities), (ii) $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ is an *interpretation function* that assigns to each $A \in \mathbf{Con}$ an M -entity $\llbracket A \rrbracket \in D$, and to each $B \in \mathbf{Prd}^n$, an n -place relation $\llbracket B \rrbracket \subseteq (D)^n$.
- An M -assignment is a function g that assigns to each $u \in \mathbf{Var}$ an M -entity $g(u) \in D$.
- For any M -assignments g, h and any variables $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbf{Var}$:
 $g[u_1 \dots u_n]h$ iff $\forall v \in \mathbf{Var} \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}: g(v) = h(v)$.

D2.2 (DPL semantics). For any DPL-model $M = \langle D, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ and M -assignments g and h , the *denotation* $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^h$ and *g - h relation* $g\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket h$ are defined as follows:

0. $\llbracket t \rrbracket^h = \llbracket t \rrbracket$ if $t \in \mathbf{Con}$
 $\llbracket t \rrbracket^h = h(t)$ if $t \in \mathbf{Var}$
- i. $g\llbracket Rt_1 \dots t_n \rrbracket h$ iff $g = h$ & $\langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket^h \rangle \in \llbracket R \rrbracket$
- ii. $g\llbracket t_1 = t_2 \rrbracket h$ iff $g = h$ & $\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h = \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket^h$
- iii. $g\llbracket \neg\phi \rrbracket h$ iff $g = h$ & $\neg\exists k: h\llbracket \phi \rrbracket k$
- iv. $g\llbracket [\phi \wedge \psi] \rrbracket h$ iff $\exists k(g\llbracket \phi \rrbracket k \& k\llbracket \psi \rrbracket h)$
- v. $g\llbracket \exists u\phi \rrbracket h$ iff $\exists k(g[u]k \& k\llbracket \phi \rrbracket h)$

D3 (Truth, entailment, and equivalence).

- i. ϕ is *true* in M given input g , written $\models_{M,g} \phi$, iff $\exists h: g\llbracket \phi \rrbracket h$
- ii. ϕ (DPL-)entails ψ , written $\phi \models \psi$, iff $\forall M \forall g \forall h (g\llbracket \phi \rrbracket h \Rightarrow \exists k: h\llbracket \psi \rrbracket k)$
- iii. ϕ and ψ are (DPL-)equivalent, written $\phi \simeq \psi$, iff $\forall M \forall g \forall h: g\llbracket \phi \rrbracket h$ iff $g\llbracket \psi \rrbracket h$

ABBREVIATIONS

- $[\phi \rightarrow \psi] := \neg[\phi \wedge \neg\psi]$
- $\forall u\phi := \neg\exists u\neg\phi$

APPENDIX: DRT (Kamp 1981) ~ DPL (G&S 1991)

(3) Jim¹ has a² wife₁. She₂ is a doctor.**DRT** (Kamp 1981)

- DRT syntax

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} z_1 \ z_2 \\ z_1 = jim \\ z_2 \ wife \ z_1 \\ dr \ z_2 \end{array}} \quad (=: K_1)$$

- DRT semantics

- DRT-models: as for PL
- DRT-contexts: *partial* assignments
 $F^M = \{f \mid \text{Dom } f \subseteq \mathbf{Var} \ \& \ \text{Ran } f \subseteq D\}$
- M -truth

$$\begin{aligned} & \models_M K_1 \\ \text{iff } \exists f \in F^M: & \\ & \text{Dom } f \text{ is the universe of } K_1 \\ & \& \ f \text{ verifies every condition of } K_1 \\ \text{iff } \exists f \in F^M: & \\ & \text{Dom } f = \{z_1, z_2\} \\ & \& \ f(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \\ & \& \ \langle f(z_2), f(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \\ & \& \ f(z_2) \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket \\ \text{iff } \exists d \in D: & \\ & \langle d, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \\ & \& \ d \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

DPL (G&S 1991)

- DPL syntax

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket [\exists z_1 [z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2 [wife \ z_2 z_1]] \ \& \ dr \ z_2] \rrbracket \\ & \simeq \exists z_1 \exists z_2 [z_1 = jim \ \& \ wife \ z_2 z_1 \ \& \ dr \ z_2] \\ & =: K_1' \end{aligned}$$

- DPL semantics

- DPL-models: as for PL
- DPL-contexts: *total* assignments
 $G^M = \{g \mid \text{Dom } g = \mathbf{Var} \ \& \ \text{Ran } g \subseteq D\}$
- M, g -truth

$$\begin{aligned} & \models_{M, g} K_1' \\ \text{iff } \exists h \in G^M: & \\ & g \llbracket K_1' \rrbracket h \\ \text{iff } \exists h \in G^M: & \\ & g[z_1 \ z_2] h \\ & \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \\ & \& \ \langle h(z_1), h(z_2) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \\ & \& \ h(z_2) \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket \\ \text{iff } \exists d \in D: & \\ & \langle d, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \\ & \& \ d \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

DPL: TRUTH AND ANAPHORA

1. RELATIONAL TEST

(1) She_1 is a doctor.
 $dr\ z_2$

FACT 1: $g[[dr\ z_2]]h$
iff $g = h \ \& \ g(z_2) \in [[dr]]$

ccp (context change
potential): *test*

FACT 2: $\models_{M,g} dr\ z_2$
iff $g(z_2) \in [[dr]]$

tc (truth condition)

PROOF:

$\models_{M,g} dr\ z_2$
iff $\exists h: g[[dr\ z_2]]h$
iff $\exists h: g = h \ \& \ h(z_2) \in [[dr]]$
iff $g(z_2) \in [[dr]]$

D3: $\models_{M,g}$
D2.2: R, t
elim. h

2. EXISTENTIAL UPDATE

(2) Jim^1 has a² wife.

FACT 3: $g[[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]]h$
iff $g[z_1\ z_2]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]]$

ccp: *update*

PROOF:

1. $g[[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]]h$
2. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k[[z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]h)$ D2.2: \exists
3. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ \exists j(k[[z_1 = jim]]j \ \& \ j[[\exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]h))$ D2.2: \wedge
4. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ \exists j(k = j \ \& \ j(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ j[[\exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]h))$ D2.2: $=, t$
5. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ k[[\exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]h)$ elim. j
6. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ \exists j(k[z_2]j \ \& \ j[[wife\ z_2\ z_1]]h))$ D2.2: \exists
7. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ \exists j(k[z_2]j \ \& \ j = h \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]])$ D2.2: R, t
8. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ k[z_2]h \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]])$ elim. j
9. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ h(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ k[z_2]h \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]])$ $g[\dots]h$
10. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \ \& \ k[z_2]h) \ \& \ h(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]]$ $\exists, \ \&$
11. $g[z_1\ z_2]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]]$ $g[\dots]h$

FACT 4: $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]$
iff $\exists d(\langle d, [[jim]] \rangle \in [[wife]])$

tc

PROOF:

1. $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]$
2. $\exists h: g[[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \ \& \ \exists z_2\ wife\ z_2\ z_1]]]h$ D3: $\models_{M,g}$
3. $\exists h(g[z_1\ z_2]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = [[jim]] \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in [[wife]])$ F3
4. $\exists d_1, d_2(d_1 = [[jim]] \ \& \ \langle d_2, d_1 \rangle \in [[wife]])$ D2.1: $g, g[\dots]h$
5. $\exists d_2(\langle d_2, [[jim]] \rangle \in [[wife]])$ elim. d_1

3. CONJUNCTION AS RELATION COMPOSITION

(3) Jim¹ has a² wife. She₂ is a doctor.

FACT 5: $g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1] \wedge dr z_2]h$ *ccp: update*
iff $g[z_1 z_2]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \ \& \ h(z_2) \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket$

PROOF:

1. $g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1] \wedge dr z_2]h$
2. $\exists k(g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]k \ \& \ k[\llbracket dr z_2 \rrbracket]h)$ D2.2: \wedge
3. $\exists k(g[z_1 z_2]k \ \& \ k(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ \langle k(z_2), k(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket$
F1, F3
 $\ \& \ k = h \ \& \ h(z_2) \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket$)
4. $g[z_1 z_2]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \ \& \ h(z_2) \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket$ elim. k

FACT 6: $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1] \wedge dr z_2$ *tc*
iff $\exists d(\langle d, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \ \& \ d \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket)$

PROOF:

1. $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1] \wedge dr z_2$
2. $\exists h: g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1] \wedge dr z_2]h$ D3: $\models_{M,g}$
3. $\exists h(g[z_1 z_2]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ \langle h(z_2), h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \ \& \ h(z_2) \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket)$ F5
4. $\exists d_1, d_2(d_1 = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ \langle d_2, d_1 \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \ \& \ d_2 \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket)$ D2.1: $g, g[\dots]h$
5. $\exists d_2(\langle d_2, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket \ \& \ d_2 \in \llbracket dr \rrbracket)$ elim. d_1

4. NEGATION TESTS

(4) Jim¹ doesn't have a² wife.

FACT 7: $g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]h$ *ccp*
iff $g[z_1]h \ \& \ h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \ \& \ \neg \exists d(\langle d, h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$

PROOF:

1. $g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]h$
- ⋮

FACT 8: $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]$ *tc*
iff $\neg \exists d(\langle d, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$

PROOF:

1. $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]$
- ⋮

FACT 9: $g[\phi \rightarrow \psi]h$
iff $g = h \ \& \ \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \exists j: k[\psi]j)$

PROOF:

1. $g[\phi \rightarrow \psi]h$
- ⋮

FACT 10: $g[\forall u \phi]h$
iff $g = h \ \& \ \forall k(h[u]k \Rightarrow \exists j: k[\phi]j)$

PROOF:

⋮

APPENDIX: PROOFS OF F7–10

FACT 7: $g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]h$ *ccp*
iff $g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists d(\langle d, h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$

PROOF:

1. $g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]h$
2. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \& k[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]h)$ D2.2: \exists
3. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \& \exists j(k[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim]]j \& j[\neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]h))$ D2.2: \wedge
4. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \& \exists j(k = j \& j(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& j[\neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]h))$ D2.2: $=, t$
5. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \& k(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& k[\neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]h)$ elim. *j*
6. $\exists k(g[z_1]k \& k(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& (k = h \& \neg \exists j: h[\exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]j))$ D2.2: \neg
7. $g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists j: h[\exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]j)$ elim. *k*
8. $g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists j \exists k(h[z_2]k \& k[\text{wife } z_2 z_1]j)$ D2.2: \exists
9. $g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists j \exists k(h[z_2]k \& k = j \& \langle j(z_2), j(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$ D2.2: *R, t*
10. $g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists k(h[z_2]k \& \langle k(z_2), k(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$ elim. *j*
11. $g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists d(\langle d, h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$ D2.1: *g, g[...]*h

FACT 8: $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]$ *tc*
iff $\neg \exists d(\langle d, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$

PROOF:

1. $\models_{M,g} \exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]$
2. $\exists h: g[\exists z_1[z_1 = jim \wedge \neg \exists z_2 \text{ wife } z_2 z_1]]h$ D3: \models
3. $\exists h(g[z_1]h \& h(z_1) = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists d(\langle d, h(z_1) \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket))$ F7
4. $\exists c(c = \llbracket jim \rrbracket \& \neg \exists d(\langle d, c \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket))$ D2.1: *g, g[...]*h
5. $\neg \exists d(\langle d, \llbracket jim \rrbracket \rangle \in \llbracket wife \rrbracket)$ elim. *c*

FACT 9: $g[\phi \rightarrow \psi]h$
iff $g = h \& \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \exists j: k[\psi]j)$

PROOF:

1. $g[\phi \rightarrow \psi]h$
2. $g[\neg(\phi \wedge \neg \psi)]h$ DPL: $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) := \neg(\phi \wedge \neg \psi)$
3. $g = h \& \neg \exists j: h[\phi \wedge \neg \psi]j$ D2.2: \neg
4. $g = h \& \neg \exists j \exists k(h[\phi]k \& k[\neg \psi]j)$ D2.2: \wedge
5. $g = h \& \neg \exists k \exists j(h[\phi]k \& k[\neg \psi]j)$ PL: $\exists x \exists y \phi = \exists y \exists x \phi$
6. $g = h \& \neg \exists k(h[\phi]k \& \exists j: k[\neg \psi]j)$ PL: $\exists x(\phi \wedge Px) = (\phi \wedge \exists x Px)$
7. $g = h \& \forall k \neg(h[\phi]k \& \exists j: k[\neg \psi]j)$ PL: $\neg \exists x \phi = \forall x \neg \phi$
8. $g = h \& \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \neg \exists j: k[\neg \psi]j)$ PL: $(\phi \Rightarrow \psi) := \neg(\phi \& \neg \psi)$
9. $g = h \& \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \neg \exists j(k = j \& \neg \exists j': j[\psi]j'))$ D2.2: \neg
10. $g = h \& \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \neg \exists j': k[\psi]j')$ elim. *j*
11. $g = h \& \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \exists j': k[\psi]j')$ PL: $\neg \neg \phi = \phi$
12. $g = h \& \forall k(h[\phi]k \Rightarrow \exists j: k[\psi]j)$ rename *j'*

FACT 10: $g \Vdash \forall u \phi \Vdash h$

iff $g = h \ \& \ \forall k (h[u]k \Rightarrow \exists j: k \Vdash \phi \Vdash j)$

PROOF:

1. $g \Vdash \forall u \phi \Vdash h$

2. $g \Vdash \neg \exists u \neg \phi \Vdash h$

3. $g = h \ \& \ \neg \exists j: h \Vdash \exists u \neg \phi \Vdash j$

4. $g = h \ \& \ \neg \exists j \exists k (h[u]k \ \& \ k \Vdash \neg \phi \Vdash j)$

5. $g = h \ \& \ \neg \exists k \exists j (h[u]k \ \& \ k \Vdash \neg \phi \Vdash j)$

⋮

12. $g = h \ \& \ \forall k (h[u]k \Rightarrow \exists j: k \Vdash \phi \Vdash j)$

DPL: $\forall u \phi := \neg \exists u \neg \phi$

D2.2: \neg

D2.2: \exists

PL: $\exists x \exists y \phi = \exists y \exists x \phi$

⋮

rename j'

PLURALITY AND ANAPHORA (K&R 93, ch. 4)

1 SOME EXAMPLES

- Quantification (by *pl*) & summation

	Salient reading(s)
(1) ¹ <i>John introduced Bill to Mary.</i> ² <i>They had been friends for a long time.</i>	rdg1: <i>they</i> = John + Bill rdg2: <i>they</i> = John + Mary
(2) ¹ <i>John introduced Bill to Mary.</i> ² <i>They became friends.</i>	<i>they</i> = Bill + Mary
(3) ¹ <i>John introduced Bill to Mary.</i> ² <i>Now they are all inseparable.</i>	<i>they all</i> = John + Bill + Mary
(4) ¹ <i>(Last month) Fred bought three donkeys.</i> ² <i>(Now) they are all unhappy.</i>	rdg1: <i>they all</i> = the 3 donkeys rdg2: <i>they all</i> = Fred + the 3 donk's
- Collective & distributive predicates

(5) ¹ <i>John and Mary are a nice couple.</i> ² <i>They met in Alaska.</i>	collective
(6) ¹ <i>(Last year) John and Mary bought a house.</i> ² <i>They both like it a lot.</i>	collective rdg
(7) ¹ <i>(Last year) John and Mary (both) bought a house.</i> ² <i>They both like them a lot.</i>	distributive rdg
(8) ¹ <i>(Today) Bill's students worked in groups.</i> ² <i>They all worked on different problems.</i> ³ <i>The group with the hardest problem came up with the best solution.</i>	distribution down to pluralities quantification over pluralities superlative quantification
- Dependent plurals

(9) ¹ <i>Most of Bill's friends own cars with automatic transmissions.</i> ² <i>They like them a lot.</i>	one or more cars per friend one transmission per car rdg1: <i>them</i> = their cars rdg2: <i>them</i> = their car's transmission
--	---

2 DPL WITH PLURALITIES (DPL⁺, G&S 91, K&R 93, Brasoveanu 07:Ch. 1–2)

D1.1 (DPL⁺ basic expressions)

- **Var** = $\{x, y, z, \dots, z_1, z_2, \dots\}$
- **Con** = $\{jim, ann, \dots\}$
- **Prd**¹ = $\{SG, PL\} \cup \{man, dnk, \dots, cm, sit, \dots\}$
- **Prd**² = $\{hsb, wife, see, spk, \dots\}$
- **Prd**³ = $\{gvt, \dots\}$

D1.2 (DPL⁺ syntax)

- | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|----|--|--------------------|
| 0. | $t \in \mathbf{Trm}$ | if | $t \in \mathbf{Con} \cup \mathbf{Var}$ | |
| i. | $(t_1 + t_2) \in \mathbf{Trm}$ | if | $t, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbf{Trm}$ | summation |
| ii. | $[u] \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $u \in \mathbf{Var}$ | |
| iii. | $(\phi; \psi) \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{For}$ | |
| iv. | $\neg\phi \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $\phi \in \mathbf{For}$ | |
| v. | $Rt_1 \dots t_n \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $R \in \mathbf{Prd}^n$ & $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbf{Trm}$ | |
| vi. | $t_1 \leq t_2 \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbf{Trm}$ | part-of |
| vii. | $ t = n \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $t \in \mathbf{Trm}$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ | cardinality |
| viii. | $t = \Sigma u. \phi \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $t \in \mathbf{Trm}, u \in \mathbf{Var}$ and $\phi \in \mathbf{For}$ | abstraction |
| ix. | $Q_u(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbf{For}$ | if | $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{For}, u \in \mathbf{Var}$ and $Q \in \{\text{ALL, MOST, } \dots\}$ | selective wk. qnt. |

D2.1 (DPL⁺ models and assignments)

- A DPL⁺ *model* is a pair $M = \langle D, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ such that (i) $D = \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ for some set $A \neq \emptyset$
- (ii) $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ assigns $\llbracket A \rrbracket \in \{d \in D : |d| = 1\}$ to each $A \in \mathbf{Con}$, and $\llbracket B \rrbracket \subseteq (D)^n$ to each $B \in \mathbf{Prd}^n$.
- (iii) $\llbracket SG \rrbracket = \{d \in D : |d| = 1\}$
- $\llbracket PL \rrbracket = \{d \in D : |d| > 1\}$
- $G := \{g \mid g: \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow D\}$ is the set of all *M-assignments*
- for all $g, h \in G$: $g[u_1 \dots u_n]h$ iff $\forall v \in \mathbf{Var} \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} : g(v) = h(v)$

D2.2 (DPL⁺ semantics). For any DPL-model $M = \langle D, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ and *M-assignments* g and h :

- | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----|--|-------------------------|
| 0. | $\llbracket t \rrbracket^h$ | = | $\llbracket t \rrbracket$ | if $t \in \mathbf{Con}$ |
| | | = | $h(t)$ | if $t \in \mathbf{Var}$ |
| i. | $\llbracket t_1 + t_2 \rrbracket^h$ | = | $(\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h \cup \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket^h)$ | |
| ii. | $g\llbracket [u] \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g[u]h$ | |
| iii. | $g\llbracket (\phi; \psi) \rrbracket h$ | iff | $\exists k(g\llbracket \phi \rrbracket k \ \& \ k\llbracket \psi \rrbracket h)$ | |
| iv. | $g\llbracket \neg\phi \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g = h \ \& \ \neg \exists k: h\llbracket \phi \rrbracket k$ | |
| v. | $g\llbracket Rt_1 \dots t_n \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g = h \ \& \ \langle \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h, \dots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket^h \rangle \in \llbracket R \rrbracket$ | |
| vi. | $g\llbracket t_1 \leq t_2 \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g = h \ \& \ \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h \subseteq \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket^h$ | |
| vii. | $g\llbracket t = n \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g = h \ \& \ \llbracket t \rrbracket^h = n$ | |
| viii. | $g\llbracket t = \Sigma u. \phi \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g = h \ \& \ \llbracket t \rrbracket^h = \cup \{k(u) : h\llbracket \phi \rrbracket k\}$ | |
| ix. | $g\llbracket Q_u(\phi, \psi) \rrbracket h$ | iff | $g = h \ \& \ Q(\{k(u) : h\llbracket \phi \rrbracket k\}, \{k(u) : \exists j(h\llbracket \phi \rrbracket j \ \& \ j\llbracket \psi \rrbracket k)\})$ | |
| | where $\text{all}(X, Y)$ | iff | $X \subseteq Y$ | |
| | $\text{most}(X, Y)$ | iff | $ X \cap Y > X \setminus Y $ | |

D3 (Truth, entailment, and equivalence).

- i. ϕ is true in M given input g , written $\models_{M,g} \phi$, iff $\exists h: g \models \phi$
- ii. ϕ (DPL⁺-)entails ψ , written $\phi \models \psi$, iff $\forall M \forall g \forall h (g \models \phi \Rightarrow \exists k: h \models \psi)$
- iii. ϕ is (DPL⁺-)equivalent to ψ , written $\phi \simeq \psi$, iff $\forall M \forall g \forall h (g \models \phi \text{ iff } g \models \psi)$

ABBREVIATIONS

- $\exists u \phi$:= $([u]; \phi)$
- $\forall u \phi$:= $\neg \exists u \neg \phi$
- $(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$:= $\neg(\phi; \neg \psi)$
- $[\phi]$ = ϕ if ϕ is a test (i.e. $\forall M \forall g \forall h: g \models \phi \Rightarrow g = h$)
 = undefined otherwise
- (ϕ, ψ) := $([\phi]; [\psi])$
- $[u_1 \dots u_n | \phi]$:= $([u_1]; \dots ([u_n]; [\phi]))$
- $(t_1 = t_2)$:= $(t_1 \leq t_2, t_2 \leq t_1)$
- $(t_1 \in t_2)$:= (SG $t_1, t_1 \leq t_2$)
- $(t_1 \emptyset t_2)$:= $\neg([x]; [x \leq t_1, x \leq t_2])$

3. USEFUL FACTS

F \exists : $g \models \exists u \phi$

iff $\exists k (g[u]k \ \& \ k \models \phi)$

F \forall : $g \models \forall u \phi$

iff $g = h \ \& \ \forall k (g[u]k \Rightarrow \exists i: k \models \phi)$

F \rightarrow : $g \models \phi \rightarrow \psi$

iff $g = h \ \& \ \forall k (g \models \phi \Rightarrow \exists i: k \models \psi)$

F $;$: $g \models (\phi, \psi)$

iff $g = h \ \& \ h \models \phi \ \& \ h \models \psi$

F $|$: $g \models [u | \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n]$

iff $g[u]h \ \& \ h \models \phi_1 \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ h \models \phi_n$

$g \models [u_1 u_2 | \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n]$

iff $g[u_1 u_2]h \ \& \ h \models \phi_1 \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ h \models \phi_n$

$g \models [u_1 u_2 u_3 | \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n]$

iff $g[u_1 u_2 u_3]h \ \& \ h \models \phi_1 \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ h \models \phi_n$

F $=$: $g \models (t_1 = t_2)$

iff $g = h \ \& \ \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h = \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket^h$

F \in : $g \models (t_1 \in t_2)$

iff $g = h \ \& \ |\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h| = 1 \ \& \ \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h \subseteq \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket^h$

F \emptyset : $g \models (t_1 \emptyset t_2)$

iff $g = h \ \& \ \llbracket t_1 \rrbracket^h \cap \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket^h = \emptyset$

PLURAL ANAPHORA IN DPL⁺

1 SUMMATION

(1) ¹*Al met his wife in Paris.* ²*They are a nice couple.*

tr(1):

$[x\ y\ z \mid x = al, \text{wife}^{of}\ yx, z = paris, \text{meet}^{in}\ xyz]; [x_1 \mid x_1 = x + y, \text{nice.couple}\ x_1]$

ccp:

$g[[x\ y\ z \mid x = al, \text{wife}^{of}\ yx, z = paris, \text{meet}^{in}\ xyz]; [x_1 \mid x_1 = x + y, \text{nice.couple}\ x_1]]h$

iff $\exists k(g[x\ y\ z]k$

$\& k(x) = [[al]] \& \langle k(y), k(x) \rangle \in [[\text{wife}^{of}]] \& k(z) = [[paris]] \& \langle k(x), k(y), k(z) \rangle \in [[\text{meet}^{in}]]$

$\& k[x_1]h$

$\& h(z) = h(x) \cup h(y) \& h(z) \in [[\text{nice.couple}]]$)

iff $g[x\ y\ z\ x_1]h$

$\& h(x) = [[al]] \& \langle h(y), h(x) \rangle \in [[\text{wife}^{of}]] \& h(x_1) = [[paris]] \& \langle h(x), h(y), h(z) \rangle \in [[\text{meet}^{in}]]$

$\& h(x_1) = h(x) \cup h(y) \& h(x_1) \in [[\text{nice.couple}]]$)

*M*_g-truth:

$\models_{M,g} [x\ y\ z \mid x = al, \text{wife}^{of}\ yx, z = paris, \text{meet}^{in}\ xyz]; [x_1 \mid x_1 = x + y, \text{nice.couple}\ x_1]$

iff $\exists b(\langle b, [[al]] \rangle \in [[\text{wife}^{of}]] \& \langle [[al], b, [[paris]] \rangle \in [[\text{meet}^{in}]] \& [[al]] \cup b \in [[\text{nice.couple}]]$)

2 DISTRIBUTION VS. ABSTRACTION

(2) ¹*(Last month) Al bought three donkeys.* ²*(Now) they are all unhappy.*

model A:

$[[al]] = \{a\}$

$\{d: d \in [[dnk]] \& \langle [[al], d \rangle \in [[buy]]\} = \{\{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_3\}\}$

MB intuition: (1) **true**

$[[dnk]] = \{\{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_{10}\}\}$

$[[unh]] = \{\{a\}, \{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_3\}\}$

model B:

$[[al]] = \{a\}$

$\{d: d \in [[dnk]] \& \langle [[al], d \rangle \in [[buy]]\} = \{\{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_4\}\}$

MB intuition: (1) **false**

$[[dnk]] = \{\{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_{10}\}\}$

$[[unh]] = \{\{a\}, \{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_3\}\}$

model C:

$[[al]] = \{a\}$

$\{d: d \in [[dnk]] \& \langle [[al], d \rangle \in [[buy]]\} = \{\{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_4\}\}$

MB intuition: (1) **false**

$[[dnk]] = \{\{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_{10}\}\}$

$[[unh]] = \{\{a\}, \{d_1\}, \dots, \{d_4\}\}$

tr(2): version 1

- $[x\ y | x = al, buy\ xy, |y| = 3, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in y], [dnk\ y_1])];$
 $[z | z = x + y, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in z], [unh\ y_1])]$

• ccp

$g[[x\ y | x = al, buy\ xy, |y| = 3, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in y], [dnk\ y_1])];$
 $[z | z = x + y, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in z], [unh\ y_1])]]h$

iff $g[x\ y\ z]h$

- & $h(x) = [[al]]$ & $\langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]]$ & $|h(y)| = 3$ & $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq h(y) \Rightarrow d \in [[dnk]])$
- & $h(z) = h(x) \cup h(y)$ & $\forall d(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq h(z) \Rightarrow d \in [[unh]])$

• M, g -truth

$\models_{M,g} [x\ y | x = al, buy\ xy, |y| = 3, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in y], [dnk\ y_1])];$
 $[z | z = x + y, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in z], [unh\ y_1])]$

iff $\exists a, b(a = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle a, b \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |b| = 3 \ \& \ \forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq b \Rightarrow d \in [[dnk]])$
 & $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq (a \cup b) \Rightarrow d \in [[unh]])$)

- **predict** (1) is **true** in model B and C on the plausible assumption that
 $\langle a, b_1 \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ \langle a, b_2 \rangle \in [[buy]] \Rightarrow \langle a, b_1 \cup b_2 \rangle \in [[buy]]$

MB intuition:tr(2): version 2

- $[x\ y | x = al, |y| = 3, y = \Sigma_{y_1}.[y_1 | dnk\ y_1, buy\ xy_1]);$
 $[z | z = x + y, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in z], [unh\ y_1])]$

• ccp

$g[[x\ y | x = al, |y| = 3, y = \Sigma_{y_1}.[y_1 | dnk\ y_1, buy\ xy_1]);$
 $[z | z = x + y, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in z], [unh\ y_1])]]h$

iff $g[x\ y]h$

- & $h(x) = [[al]]$ & $|h(y)| = 3$ & $h(y) = \cup \{d: d \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), d \rangle \in [[buy]]\}$
- & $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq (h(x) \cup h(y)) \Rightarrow d \in [[unh]])$

• M, g -truth

$\models_{M,g} [x\ y | x = al, |y| = 3, y = \Sigma_{y_1}.[y_1 | dnk\ y_1, buy\ xy_1]);$
 $[z | z = x + y, ALL_{y_1}([y_1 | y_1 \in z], [unh\ y_1])]$

iff $\exists a, b(a = [[al]] \ \& \ |b| = 3 \ \& \ b = \cup \{d: d \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), d \rangle \in [[buy]]\}$
 & $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq (a \cup b) \Rightarrow d \in [[unh]])$)

3 PROBLEM?

(3) ¹(Last year) Al, Bill, and Sue each bought a house. ²They like them a lot.

tr(3):

APPENDIX: SAMPLE DERIVATIONS

FACT 1: $g[[x\ y\ |x = al, buy\ xy, |y| = 3, \text{ALL}_{y_1}([y_1\ |y_1 \in y], [dnk\ y_1])]]h$
iff $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq h(y) \Rightarrow d \in [[dnk]])$

PROOF:

1. $g[[x\ y\ |x = al, buy\ xy, |y| = 3, \text{ALL}_{y_1}([y_1\ |y_1 \in y], [dnk\ y_1])]]h$
2. $g[x\ y]h \ \& \ h[x = al]h \ \& \ h[[buy\ xy]]h \ \& \ h[|y| = 3]h \ \& \ h[\text{ALL}_{y_1}([y_1\ |y_1 \in y], [dnk\ y_1])]h$ F|
3. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$ F=, D2.2:R, |t|, t
& $\{k(y_1): h[[y_1\ |y_1 \in y]]k\} \subseteq \{k(y_1): \exists j(k[[dnk\ y_1]]j)\}$ D2.2:V
4. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\{k(y_1): h[y_1]k \ \& \ |k(y_1)| = 1 \ \& \ k(y_1) \subseteq k(y)\}$ F|, F∈, D2.2:t
& $\subseteq \{k(y_1): \exists j(k = j \ \& \ j(y_1) \in [[dnk]])\}$ [∅], D2.2:R, t
5. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\{k(y_1): h[y_1]k \ \& \ |k(y_1)| = 1 \ \& \ k(y_1) \subseteq h(y)\}$ D2.1:g[...]h
& $\subseteq \{k(y_1): k(y_1) \in [[dnk]]\}$ elim.j
6. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\{d \in D \mid \exists k(k(y_1) = d \ \& \ h[y_1]k \ \& \ |k(y_1)| = 1 \ \& \ k(y_1) \subseteq h(y))\}$ D2.1, {f(a): ...}
& $\subseteq \{d \in D \mid \exists k(k(y_1) = d \ \& \ k(y_1) \in [[dnk]])\}$
7. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\{d \in D \mid |d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq h(y)\} \subseteq \{d \in D \mid d \in [[dnk]]\}$ D2.1:g, g[...]h
8. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq h(y) \Rightarrow d \in [[dnk]])$ df. ⊆
9. $g[x\ y]h$
& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in [[buy]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
& $\forall d \in D(|d| = 1 \ \& \ d \subseteq h(y) \Rightarrow d \in [[dnk]])$ D2.1:D, g

□

FACT 2: $g[[x\ y\ | \ x = al, |y| = 3, y = \Sigma y_1.[y_1\ | \ dnk\ y_1, buy\ xy_1]]]h$

iff $g[x\ y]h$

& $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3 \ \& \ h(y) = \cup \{d \in D \mid d \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), d \rangle \in [[buy]]\}$

PROOF:

1. $g[[x\ y\ | \ x = al, |y| = 3, y = \Sigma y_1.[y_1\ | \ buy\ xy_1, dnk\ y_1]]]h$
2. $g[x\ y]h \ \& \ h[x = al]h \ \& \ h[|y| = 3]h \ \& \ h[y = \Sigma y_1.[y_1\ | \ buy\ xy_1, dnk\ y_1]]h$ F|
3. $g[x\ y]h$
 & $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$ F=, D2.2:|t|, t
 & $h(y) = \cup \{k(y_1): h[[y_1\ | \ dnk\ y_1, buy\ xy_1]]k\}$ D2.2:Σ
4. $g[x\ y]h$ F|
 & $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
 & $h(y) = \cup \{k(y_1): h[[y_1]k \ \& \ k[[dnk\ y_1]]k \ \& \ k[[buy\ xy_1]]k\}$
5. $g[x\ y]h$ D2.2:R, t
 & $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
 & $h(y) = \cup \{k(y_1): h[[y_1]k \ \& \ k(y_1) \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle k(x), k(y_1) \rangle \in [[buy]]\}$
6. $g[x\ y]h$ D2.1, \{-:-\}
 & $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
 & $h(y) = \cup \{d \in D \mid \exists k(k(y_1) = d \ \& \ h[[y_1]k \ \& \ k(y_1) \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle k(x), k(y_1) \rangle \in [[buy]])\}$
7. $g[x\ y]h$ D2.1:g[...]h
 & $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3$
 & $h(y) = \cup \{d \in D \mid \exists k(k(y_1) = d \ \& \ h[[y_1]k \ \& \ k(y_1) \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), k(y_1) \rangle \in [[buy]])\}$
8. $g[x\ y]h$ D2.1:g
 & $h(x) = [[al]] \ \& \ |h(y)| = 3 \ \& \ h(y) = \cup \{d \in D \mid d \in [[dnk]] \ \& \ \langle h(x), d \rangle \in [[buy]]\}$

□